arXiv:1212.3420v2 [math.PR] 31 Jan 2013

L_2-variation of Lévy driven BSDEs with non-smooth terminal conditions

Christel Geiss and Alexander Steinicke

Department of Mathematics
University of Innsbruck
Technikerstraße 13/7
A-6020 Innsbruck
Austria
christel.geiss@uibk.ac.at alexander.steinicke@uibk.ac.at

February 1, 2013

Abstract

We consider the L_2-regularity of solutions to backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs) with Lipschitz generators driven by a Brownian motion and a Poisson random measure associated with a Lévy process $(X_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$. The terminal condition may be a Borel function of finitely many increments of the Lévy process which is not necessarily Lipschitz but only satisfies a fractional smoothness condition. The results are obtained by investigating how the special structure appearing in the chaos expansion of the terminal condition is inherited by the solution to the BSDE.

1 Introduction

The main objective of this paper consists in studying the relation between fractional smoothness of the terminal condition of a BSDE and the L_2-variation of its according solution.

A motivation to investigate this relation arises from the fact that the convergence rate of the discretization error of BSDEs with Lipschitz generator is determined by the convergence of the discretized terminal condition to its limit and by the L_2-variation properties of the exact solution (Y, Z):

In the Brownian scenario the discretization of BSDEs has been studied by many authors, see for example, [3], [21], [29], [10], [18], [9] and [13]. If the BSDE is given by

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T F(s, Y_s, Z_s)ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad 0 \le t \le T,$$

we define the L_p-variation

$$\mathrm{var}_p(\xi, f, \tau) := \sup_{1\le i\le n} \sup_{t_{i-1}<s\le t_i} \|Y_s - Y_{t_{i-1}}\|_p + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \|Z_t - \overline{Z}_{t_{i-1}}\|_p^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where $\tau = (t_i)_{i=0}^n$ is a deterministic time-net $0 = t_0 < \cdots < t_n = T$ and

$$\overline{Z}_{t_{i-1}} := \frac{1}{t_i - t_{i-1}} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} Z_s ds | \mathcal{F}_{t_{i-1}} \right].$$

Gobet and Makhlouf [19] considered L_2-regularity of (Y, Z) for a terminal condition given by $\xi = g(X_T)$ where g does not need to be Lipschitz and X denotes the forward process. In [13] the L_p-regularity of (Y, Z) for $p \ge 2$ was shown if the terminal condition depends on the forward process at finitely many time points, $\xi = g(X_{r_1}, ..., X_{r_m})$, and satisfies a path-dependent Malliavin fractional smoothness condition which is weaker than the Lipschitz condition on g. Using these results and following the ideas of [10], one can show that the convergence rate of the error between the discretizations (Y^τ, Z^τ) and the solution (Y, Z) is of order $\frac{1}{2}$, i.e.

$$Err_{\tau,2}(Y, Z) := \left\{ \sup_{0<t\le T} \mathbb{E}|Y_t - \bar{Y}_t^\tau|^2 + \int_0^T \mathbb{E}|Z_t - \bar{Z}_t^\tau|^2 dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \le c|\tau|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

provided that the time grid for the discretization is chosen in an appropriate way (like in [13]), and the discretized terminal condition converges in this order. Without any assumptions on the dependence of the terminal condition ξ on a forward process X, Hu, Nualart and Song [20] have shown the convergence rate $\frac{1}{2}$ supposing Malliavin differentiability properties of ξ (and of the generator).

For a BSDE driven by a Poisson random measure, Bouchard and Elie [8] proved that the convergence rate is of order $\frac{1}{2}$ (in the non-degenerate case) if the terminal condition is given by $\xi = g(X_T)$ where g is Lipschitz.

Here we study whether it is possible to achieve the convergence rate $\frac{1}{2}$ with a terminal condition $\xi = g(X_{r_1}, ..., X_{r_m})$ and whether the Lipschitz condition on g can be weakened to a Malliavin fractional smoothness condition. The method we use allows to answer this question in the case where X is the Lévy process itself.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we describe the setting and recall some needed facts. In Section 3 a result about Malliavin differentiability of the solution (Y, Z) of the BSDE is stated. Its proof which follows ideas of Pardoux and Peng [23] can be found in the appendix. Our method to show the L_2-regularity of solutions to BSDEs exploits the fact that their Malliavin derivative is piecewise constant in time. This is ensured by selecting a terminal condition which has this property. For this purpose we introduce a space of suitable terminal conditions and investigate the chaos expansion of the according solution in Section 4. Section 5 contains our main result, equivalences and implications concerning the L_2-regularity of (Y, Z). An important fact, which will be considered in Section 6, is a sufficient condition for the L_2-regularity of the solution: a certain Malliavin fractional smoothness of the terminal condition (in addition to our standing assumption that the generator is Lipschitz).

2 Setting

Let $X = (X_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ be an L_2-Lévy-process on a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with Lévy-measure ν. We will denote the augmented natural filtration of X by $\mathbb{F} := (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ and let $\mathrm{L}_2 := \mathrm{L}_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{P})$.

The Lévy-Itô decompositon of an L_2-Lévy-process X can be written as

$$X_t = \gamma t + \sigma W_t + \int_{]0,t]\times(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\})} x\tilde{N}(ds, dx),$$

where $\sigma \geq 0$, W is a Brownian motion and $\tilde{N}$ is the compensated Poisson random measure corresponding to X.

We define the random measure M by

$$M(dt, dx) := \sigma dW_t \delta_0(dx) + x\tilde{N}(dt, dx). \tag{1}$$

Then $\mathbb{E}M(B)^2 = \int_B \mathrm{m}(dt, dx)$ for $B \in \mathcal{B}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})$ where

$$\mathrm{m}(dt, dx) = (\lambda \otimes \mu)(dt, dx)$$

with

$$\mu(dx) = \sigma^2 \delta_0(dx) + x^2 \nu(dx)$$

and λ denotes the Lebesgue measure. For $0 \le t \le T$ we consider the BSDE

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T F\left(s, Y_s, \bar{Z}_s\right) ds - \int_{]t,T] \times \mathbb{R}} Z_{s,x} M(ds, dx), \tag{2}$$

where

$$\bar{Z}_s = \int_{\mathbb{R}} Z_{s,x} \kappa(dx)$$

and $\kappa(dx) := \kappa'(x)\mu(dx)$ such that $\kappa' \in \mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \mu)$. We will use the following assumptions:

(A_ξ) $\xi \in \mathrm{L}_2$,

(A_F) $F\colon \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is jointly measurable, adapted to $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$, Lipschitz-continuous in the last two variables, uniformly in ω and t, i.e.

$$|F(t, y_1, z_1) - F(t, y_2, z_2)| \le L_f \left(|y_1 - y_2| + |z_1 - z_2|\right), \tag{3}$$

and satisfies

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^T |F(t, 0, 0)|^2 \, dt < \infty.$$

For later use we introduce spaces of stochastic processes.

Definition 2.1. 1. Let S denote the space of all $(\mathcal{F}_t)$- adapted and càdlàg processes $(y_t)_{0 \le t \le T}$ such that

$$\|y\|_S^2 := \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \le t \le T} |y_t|^2 < \infty.$$

2. We define H as the space of all random fields $z\colon \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ which are measurable with respect to $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ (where $\mathcal{P}$ denotes the predictable σ-algebra on $\Omega \times [0,T]$ generated by the left-continuous $\mathbb{F}$-adapted processes) such that

$$\|z\|_H^2 := \mathbb{E} \int_{[0,T] \times \mathbb{R}} |z_{s,x}|^2 \, \mathrm{m}(ds, dx) < \infty.$$

The space $S \times H$ is equipped with the norm $\|(y,z)\|_{S \times H} := \left(\|y\|_S^2 + \|z\|_H^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

A pair $(Y, Z) \in S \times H$ which satisfies (2) is called a solution to the BSDE (2).

Theorem 2.2. *Assume* (ξ, F) *satisfies* (A_ξ) *and* (A_F). *Then the BSDE (2) has a unique solution* $(Y, Z) \in S \times H$.

This result can be found in Tang and Li [28, Lemma 2.4] and in Barles, Buckdahn and Pardoux [5, Theorem 2.1]. We next cite the stability result of [5] comparing the distance between solutions to the BSDE (2) with different terminal conditions and generators.

Theorem 2.3 ([5], Proposition 2.2)**.** *Let* (ξ, F) *and* (ξ', F') *satisfy* (A_ξ) *and* (A_F). *For the corresponding solutions* (Y, Z) *and* (Y', Z') *to* (2) *it holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|Y - Y'\|_S^2 + \|Z - Z'\|_H^2 \\ \leq \quad & C\biggl(\|\xi - \xi'\|_{\mathrm{L}_2}^2 + \int_0^T \|F\left(s, Y_s, \bar{Z}_s\right) - F'\left(s, Y_s, \bar{Z}_s\right)\|_{\mathrm{L}_2}^2 ds\biggr), \end{aligned}$$

where $C = C(L_{F'}) = C(L_{F'}, \mu)$.

Remark 2.4. According to [26, Proposition 3] (see also [22, Proposition 3] or [1, Lemma 2.2]) for any $z \in \mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P} \otimes \mathbb{m})$ there exists a process

$$^p z \in \mathrm{L}_2\left(\Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{P} \otimes \mathbb{m}\right)$$

such that for any fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}$ the function $(^p z)_{\cdot,x}$ is a version of the predictable projection (in the classical sense) of $z_{\cdot,x}$. In the following we will always use this result to get predictable projections which are measurable w.r.t. a parameter.

3 Malliavin differentiability of (Y, Z)

We will use the Malliavin derivative which is defined via chaos expansions (see, for example, [27]). Any $G \in \mathrm{L}_2$ has a unique chaos expansion

$$G = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n(f_n)$$

and it holds

$$\|G\|^2 := \|G\|_{\mathrm{L}_2}^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! \, \|f_n\|_{\mathrm{L}_2^n}^2$$

where the $f_n \in \mathrm{L}_2^n = \mathrm{L}_2\left(([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})^n, \mathrm{m}^{\otimes n}\right)$ are symmetric functions and I_n denotes the n-th multiple integral with respect to M defined in (1). The space $\mathbb{D}_{1,2}$ consists of all random variables $G \in \mathrm{L}_2$ such that

$$\|G\|^2_{\mathbb{D}_{1,2}} := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+1)!\,\|f_n\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2^n} < \infty.$$

For $G \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$ the Malliavin derivative

$$\mathcal{D}G \in \mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mathrm{m}) := \mathrm{L}_2\big(\Omega\times[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}_T\otimes\mathcal{B}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{P}\otimes\mathrm{m}\big)$$

is given by

$$\mathcal{D}_{t,x}G(\omega) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nI_{n-1}\left(f_n\left((t,x),\,\cdot\,\right)\right)(\omega),$$

for $\mathbb{P}\otimes\mathrm{m}$-a.a. $(\omega,t,x)\in\Omega\times[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}$.

The following theorem is concerned with Malliavin differentiability of the solution to a BSDE of the form

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f\left(s, X_s, Y_s, \bar{Z}_s\right)ds - \int_{]t,T]\times\mathbb{R}} Z_{s,x}M(ds,dx), \qquad 0\le t\le T \tag{4}$$

where we will assume

(A_f) $f\in\mathcal{C}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3)$ is Lipschitz-continuous in (x,y,z), uniformly in t, i.e.

$$|f(t,x_1,y_1,z_1) - f(t,x_2,y_2,z_2)| \le L_f(|x_1-x_2|+|y_1-y_2|+|z_1-z_2|). \tag{5}$$

(A_f1) f satisfies (A_f) and $f\in\mathcal{C}^{0,1,1,1}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Note that (4) is a special form of (2), and $F(\omega,t,y,z) := f(t,X_t(\omega),y,z)$ satisfies (A_F) if f does satisfy (A_f).

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\xi\in\mathbb{D}_{1,2}$ and assume (A_f1). Then the following assertions hold.*

(i) For m- a.e. $(r,v)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}$ there exists a unique solution $(U^{r,v},V^{r,v})\in S\times H$ to the BSDE

$$U_t^{r,v} \;=\; \mathcal{D}_{r,v}\xi + \int_t^T F_{r,v}\left(s, U_s^{r,v}, \bar{V}_s^{r,v}\right)ds$$

$$-\int_{]t,T]\times\mathbb{R}} V^{r,v}_{s,x} M(ds,dx), \quad 0 \le r \le t \le T \tag{6}$$

where $\bar V^{r,v}_s := \int_{\mathbb{R}} V^{r,v}_{s,x}\kappa(dx)$,

$$F_{r,0}(s,U^{r,0}_s,\bar V^{r,0}_s) \;:=\; \left\langle \nabla f\left(s,X_s,Y_s,\bar Z_s\right), \left(\mathbb{1}_{[r,T]}(s),U^{r,0}_s,\bar V^{r,0}_s\right)\right\rangle,$$

with $\nabla = (\partial_x,\partial_y,\partial_z)$,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{r,v}(s,U^{r,v}_s,\bar V^{r,v}_s) \;&:=\; \frac{1}{v}\Big[f\left(s,X_s+v\mathbb{1}_{[r,T]}(s),Y_s+vU^{r,v}_s,\bar Z_s+v\bar V^{r,v}_s\right) \\ &\qquad - f\left(s,X_s,Y_s,\bar Z_s\right)\Big] \quad \text{for } v \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and $U^{r,v}_s = V^{r,v}_{s,x} = 0$ *for* $r > s$.

(ii) For the solution (Y,Z) *of* (4) *it holds*

$$Y \in \mathrm{L}_2([0,T];\mathbb{D}_{1,2}), \quad Z \in \mathrm{L}_2([0,T]\times\mathbb{R};\mathbb{D}_{1,2}), \tag{7}$$

and $\mathcal{D}_{r,v}Y$ *admits a càdlàg version for* $\mathbbm{m}$*- a.e.* $(r,v) \in [0,T]\times\mathbb{R}$.

(iii) $(\mathcal{D}Y,\mathcal{D}Z)$ *is a version of* (U,V)*, i.e. for* $\mathbbm{m}$*- a.e.* (r,v) *it solves*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{r,v}Y_t \;&=\; \mathcal{D}_{r,v}\xi + \int_t^T F_{r,v}\left(s,\mathcal{D}_{r,v}Y_s,\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{D}_{r,v}Z_{s,x}\kappa(dx)\right)ds \\ &\quad - \int_{]t,T]\times\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{D}_{r,v}Z_{s,x}M(ds,dx), \quad 0 \le r \le t \le T. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

(iv) The process ${}^{p}\left((\mathcal{D}_{r,v}Y_r)_{r\in[0,T],v\in\mathbb{R}}\right)$ *is a version of* Z *where we set*

$$\mathcal{D}_{r,v}Y_r(\omega) := \lim_{t\searrow r} \mathcal{D}_{r,v}Y_t(\omega)$$

for all (r,v,ω) *for which* $\mathcal{D}_{r,v}Y$ *is càdlàg and* $\mathcal{D}_{r,v}Y_r(\omega) := 0$ *otherwise.*

In the setting of time delayed BSDEs a similar result was proved by Delong and Imkeller [12] assuming that the time horizon T or the Lipschitz constant L_f of the generator are sufficiently small. For the convenience of the reader, we present a proof of Theorem 3.1 in the appendix.

4 Chaotic representation of (Y, Z)

The goal of this section is to investigate properties of the chaos expansions of the solution (Y, Z) to (4) with terminal values ξ of the following form:

Let $r_0 = 0 < r_1 < \cdots < r_m = T$ be a partition of $[0, T]$. Define $\Lambda_k :=]r_{k-1}, r_k]$ for $k = 1, \dots, m$ and $V_m^n := \{1, \dots, m\}^n$. The cuboids in $]0, T]^n$ induced by the partition we will denote by

$$\Lambda_\alpha := \Lambda_{\alpha_1} \times \cdots \times \Lambda_{\alpha_n}, \quad \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in V_m^n.$$

Furthermore we let

$$\mathbb{H} := \left\{ \xi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n(f_n) \in \mathrm{L}_2 \ : \exists \ g_n^\alpha \in \mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu^{\otimes n}) \text{ such that} \right.$$
$$\left. f_n((t_1, x_1), \dots, (t_n, x_n)) = \sum_{\alpha \in V_m^n} g_n^\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_\alpha}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \right\}.$$

Hence on each cuboid Λ_α the function f_n is constant in $(t_1, ..., t_n)$. In particular, this space contains random variables of the form

$$g(X_{r_m} - X_{r_{m-1}}, \dots, X_{r_1} - X_0) \in \mathrm{L}_2$$

where g is a Borel function (see [4]) .

Remark 4.1. By convolution with mollifiers we construct for any function $f \in \mathcal{C}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfying (A_f) a sequence $(f_n)_{n \geq 0}$ converging uniformly to f in $\mathcal{C}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)$, such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in [0, T]$ we have $f_n(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and f_n satisfies the Lipschitz-condition (5) with the same constant L_f for all n.
Let $(\xi_n)_{n \geq 0} \subseteq \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$ be a sequence converging to ξ in L_2. By (Y^n, Z^n) we will denote the solution to (4) with terminal condition ξ_n and generator f_n. Then Theorem 2.3 implies that

$$(Y^n, Z^n) \to (Y, Z) \quad \text{if} \quad n \to \infty \quad \text{in } S \times H. \tag{9}$$

For $\xi \in \mathbb{H}$ the solution (Y, Z) has a chaos expansion which resembles those of the elements of $\mathbb{H}$:

Theorem 4.2. *Let (A_f) hold. For $\xi \in \mathbb{H}$ the chaos expansion of $(Y, Z) \in S \times H$ has the representation*

$$Y_t = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n \left(\sum_{\alpha \in V_m^n} \varphi_n^\alpha(t) \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_\alpha \cap]0,t]^n} \right), \quad \mathbb{P} \otimes \lambda\text{-a.e.}, \tag{10}$$

where φ_n^α is jointly measurable, $\varphi_n^\alpha(t) \in \mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu^{\otimes n})$ and

$$Z_{t,x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n \left(\sum_{\alpha \in V_m^n} \psi_n^\alpha(t,x) \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_\alpha \cap]0,t]^n} \right), \quad \mathbb{P} \otimes \mathbb{m}\text{-a.e.}, \tag{11}$$

where ψ_n^α is jointly measurable and $\psi_n^\alpha(t) \in \mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \mu^{\otimes n+1})$.

Proof. We may use Remark 4.1 and approximate $\xi \in \mathbb{H}$ by a sequence $(\xi_n)_n \subseteq \mathbb{H} \cap \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$ and f by $(f_n)_n$ satisfying $(A_f 1)$. Since the convergence in $S \times H$ implies convergence w.r.t. the norm

$$|||(y,z)||| := \left(\|y\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P} \otimes \lambda)} + \|z\|_H^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{12}$$

and the space of processes (y, z) with representations (10) and (11) is closed with respect to the norm (12) we only need to show that the assertion holds for any solution (Y^n, Z^n) w.r.t. (ξ_n, f_n). Hence we may assume that $\xi \in \mathbb{H} \cap \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}^{0,1,1,1}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\partial_x f, \partial_y f$ and $\partial_z f$ are bounded by L_f. According to Theorem 3.1 we can differentiate (4) and obtain for $\mathbb{m}$-a.e. (t, x) and all $s \in [t, T]$ that

$$\mathcal{D}_{t,x} Y_s \;=\; \mathcal{D}_{t,x}\xi + \int_s^T \mathcal{D}_{t,x} f(r, X_r, Y_r, \bar{Z}_r) dr - \int_{]s,T] \times \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{D}_{t,x} Z_{r,y} M(dr, dy).$$

Theorem 3.1 yields that Z is a version of ${}^p(\mathcal{D}_{t,x} Y_t)$, hence

$$Z_{t,x} = \mathcal{D}_{t,x} Y_t \quad \mathbb{P} \otimes \mathbb{m}\text{-a.e.}$$

We define the recursion

$$Y_s^0 := 0, \quad Z_{s,y}^0 := 0,$$

$$\mathcal{Y}_s^{k+1} := \xi + \int_s^T f\left(r, X_r, Y_r^k, \bar{Z}_r^k\right) dr, \quad Y^{k+1} := {}^o(\mathcal{Y}^{k+1}), \tag{13}$$

where ^{o} denotes the optional projection, which is according to [11, Theorem 47 and Remark 50] càdlàg. Since $Y_u^{k+1} = \mathbb{E}_u \mathcal{Y}_u^{k+1}$ $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. we define for

$$\mathcal{D}_{s,y} Y_u^{k+1} = \mathbb{E}_u \mathcal{D}_{s,y} \xi + \mathbb{E}_u \int_u^T \mathcal{D}_{s,y} f(r, X_r, Y_r^k, \bar{Z}_r^k) dr, \quad s < u \tag{14}$$

the set

$$A_k := \{(s,y) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R} : \text{ the RHS of (14) is càdlàg on } [s,T] \ \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}\}$$

and assume a pathwise càdlàg version of $\mathcal{D}_{s,y} Y^{k+1}$ for any $(s,y) \in A_k$ while we let $\mathcal{D}_{s,y} Y^{k+1}$ be zero otherwise. In this sense we can set

$$\mathcal{Z}_{s,y}^{k+1} := \lim_{t \searrow s} \mathcal{D}_{s,y} Y_t^{k+1}, \quad Z^{k+1} := {}^p(\mathcal{Z}^{k+1}) \tag{15}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, ...$

The process Y^{k+1} has a càdlàg version, therefore, $(Y^k, Z^k) \in S \times H$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In the proof of [28, Theorem 2.2] it is shown that (Y^k, Z^k) converges to (Y, Z) with respect to the norm (12).
Consequently, we only need to show that (10) and (11) hold for (Y^k, Z^k).

For fixed $t \in]0, T[$ we describe (10) by introducing the space

$$\mathbb{H}_t := \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n \left(\sum_{\alpha \in V_m^n} g_n^\alpha \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_\alpha \cap]0,t]^n} \right) \in \mathrm{L}_2 : g_n^\alpha \in \mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu^{\otimes n}) \right\}.$$

From [4] one can conclude the following fact:

Lemma 4.3. *For any Borel function $h : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_d \in \mathbb{H}_t$ such that $h(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_d) \in \mathrm{L}_2$ it holds $h(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_d) \in \mathbb{H}_t$.*

Assume now that (10) and (11) hold for Y^k and Z^k, respectively. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Z}_t^k &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n \left(\sum_{\alpha \in V_m^n} \psi_n^\alpha(t,x) \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_\alpha \cap [0,t]^{\otimes n}} \right) \kappa(dx) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n \left(\sum_{\alpha \in V_m^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_n^\alpha(t,x) \kappa(dx) \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_\alpha \cap [0,t]^{\otimes n}} \right) \qquad (16) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n \left(\sum_{\alpha \in V_m^n} \bar{\psi}_n^\alpha(t) \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_\alpha \cap [0,t]^{\otimes n}} \right) \in \mathbb{H}_t. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 4.3 it follows that $f(t, X_t, Y_t^k, \bar{Z}_t^k) \in \mathbb{H}_t$ i.e.

$$f\left(t, X_t, Y_t^k, \bar{Z}_t^k\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n \left(\sum_{\alpha \in V_m^n} g_n^\alpha(t) \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_\alpha \cap]0,t]^{\otimes n}} \right),$$

with $g_n^\alpha(t) \in \mathrm{L}_2\left(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu^{\otimes n}\right)$. Because $f(\cdot, X_\cdot, Y_\cdot^k, \bar{Z}_\cdot^k)$ is square integrable w.r.t. $\mathbb{P} \otimes \lambda$ on $\Omega \times [0, T]$ one can show that the g_n^α can be chosen jointly measurable. This implies ($\mathbb{E}_t$ stands for the conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}[\,\cdot\,|\mathcal{F}_t]$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_t \int_t^T f\left(r, X_r, Y_r^k, \bar{Z}_r^k\right) dr &= \int_t^T \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n \left(\sum_{\alpha \in V_m^n} g_n^\alpha(r) \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_\alpha \cap]0,t]^{\otimes n}} \right) dr \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n \left(\sum_{\alpha \in V_m^n} \int_t^T g_n^\alpha(r) dr \, \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_\alpha \cap]0,t]^{\otimes n}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

From (13) we have that $Y_t^{k+1} = \mathbb{E}_t \mathcal{Y}_t^{k+1}$ $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. and since $\mathbb{E}_t \xi \in \mathbb{H}_t$ we conclude representation (10) for Y_t^{k+1}. To find out the representation of Z^{k+1} we will use (15). Let $\underline{\alpha} := (\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n)$. Assuming $\xi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n \left(\sum_{\alpha \in V_m^n} \hat{g}_n^\alpha \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_\alpha} \right)$ with symmetric $f_n = \sum_{\alpha \in V_m^n} \hat{g}_n^\alpha \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_\alpha}$ we have for $\mathbb{P} \otimes \mathbb{m}$ a.e. $(t, y, \omega) \in]0, s] \times \mathbb{R} \times \Omega$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_{t,y} Y_s^{k+1} &= \mathcal{D}_{t,y} \mathbb{E}_s \xi + \mathcal{D}_{t,y} \mathbb{E}_s \int_s^T f\left(r, X_r, Y_r^k, \bar{Z}_r^k\right) dr \\
&= \mathcal{D}_{t,y} \mathbb{E}_s \xi + \mathcal{D}_{t,y} \int_s^T \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n \left(\sum_{\alpha \in V_m^n} g_n^\alpha(r) \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_\alpha \cap]0,s]^{\otimes n}} \right) dr \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n I_{n-1} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in V_m^n} \hat{g}_n^\alpha(y, \cdot) \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_{\alpha_1}}(t) \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_{\underline{\alpha}} \cap]0,s]^{\otimes (n-1)}} \right) \\
&\quad + \int_s^T \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n I_{n-1} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in V_m^n} g_n^\alpha(r, y, \cdot) \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_{\alpha_1}}(t) \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_{\underline{\alpha}} \cap]0,s]^{\otimes (n-1)}} \right) dr,
\end{aligned}$$

where we again have chosen symmetric integrands $\sum_{\alpha \in V_m^n} g_n^\alpha(r) \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_\alpha \cap]0,s]^{\otimes n}}$. One easily checks the L_2-convergence

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{s\searrow t}\mathcal{D}_{t,y}Y_s^{k+1} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nI_{n-1}\left(\sum_{\alpha\in V_m^n}\hat{g}_n^{\alpha}(y,\cdot)\mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_{\alpha_1}}(t)\mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_{\underline{\alpha}}\cap]0,t]^{\otimes(n-1)}}\right)\\ &\quad+\int_t^T\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nI_{n-1}\left(\sum_{\alpha\in V_m^n}g_n^{\alpha}(r,y,\cdot)\mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_{\alpha_1}}(t)\mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_{\underline{\alpha}}\cap]0,t]^{\otimes(n-1)}}\right)dr.\end{aligned}$$

If we consider the càdlàg version of $\mathcal{D}_{t,y}Y^{k+1}$ we obtain the same expression for the pathwise limit, i.e. $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s.

$$\begin{aligned}Z_{t,y}^{k+1} &= \lim_{s\searrow t}\mathcal{D}_{t,y}Y_s^{k+1}\\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nI_{n-1}\!\left(\sum_{\alpha\in V_m^n}\left[\hat{g}_n^{\alpha}(y,\cdot)+\int_t^T g_n^{\alpha}(r,y,\cdot)dr\right]\mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_{\alpha_1}}(t)\mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_{\underline{\alpha}}\cap]0,t]^{\otimes(n-1)}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

5 L_2-variation of (Y,Z)

The next theorem is our main statement, which allows conclusions on the L_2 -regularity of the solutions to BSDE (4) by observing regularity properties of Y_{r_k} for fixed time points $r_0=0<r_1<\cdots<r_m=T$.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that (A_f) is satisfied and $\xi\in\mathbb{H}$. Let $k\in\{1,\ldots,m\}$ and $\theta_k\in]0,1]$. For the solution (Y,Z) of* (4) *consider the following assertions:*

(i) There is some $c_1>0$ such that for all $s\in[r_{k-1},r_k]$,

$$\|Y_{r_k}-\mathbb{E}_sY_{r_k}\|^2\le c_1(r_k-s)^{\theta_k}.$$

(ii) There is some $c_2>0$ such that for all $r_{k-1}\le s<t\le r_k$,

$$\|Y_t-Y_s\|^2\le c_2\int_s^t(r_k-r)^{\theta_k-1}dr.$$

(iii) There is some $c_3>0$ such that for λ-a.e. $s\in[r_{k-1},r_k[$,

$$\|Z_{s,\cdot}\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)}\le c_3(r_k-s)^{\theta_k-1}.$$

(iv) There is some $c_4 > 0$ and a Borel set N_k with $\lambda(N_k) = 0$ such that for all $s,t \in [r_{k-1}, r_k[\setminus N_k$ with $s < t$ and for all $h \in \mathrm{L}_2(\mu)$ it holds

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (Z_{t,x} - Z_{s,x})\, h(x)\mu(dx) \right\|^2 \leq \|h\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mu)} c_4 \int_s^t (r_k - r)^{\theta_k - 2} dr.$$

Then it holds that

$$\text{(i)} \Leftrightarrow \text{(ii)} \Leftrightarrow \text{(iii)} \Rightarrow \text{(iv)}.$$

Remark 5.2. (i) Analogously to [13, Definition 1] we may introduce the concept of *path-dependent fractional smoothness*: Fix $\Theta = (\theta_1, ..., \theta_m) \in]0,1[^m$. If (Y, Z) is the solution to BSDE (4) with generator f and terminal condition $\xi \in \mathbb{H}$, we let

$$(\xi, f) \in B^{\Theta}_{2,\infty}(X)$$

provided that there is some $c > 0$ such that

$$\|Y_{r_k} - \mathbb{E}_s Y_{r_k}\|^2 \leq c(r_k - s)^{\theta_k}, \quad r_{k-1} \leq s < r_k, k = 1, ..., m.$$

If $f = 0$ we simply write $\xi \in B^{\Theta}_{2,\infty}(X)$. If, moreover, $T = 1$ and $m = 1$ then the space $B^{\Theta}_{2,\infty}(X)$ can be understood as the real interpolation space $(\mathrm{L}_2, \mathbb{D}_{1,2})_{\theta_1,\infty}$ which describes *fractional smoothness*. For $\xi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n(f_n) \in \mathbb{H}$ set $T_\xi(t) := \|\mathbb{E}_t \xi\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|I_n(f_n)\|^2 t^n$, and using the ideas of [15, Proposition 3.2] and [14, formula (13)] one can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi\|_{(\mathrm{L}_2,\mathbb{D}_{1,2})_{\theta_1,\infty}} &\sim_c \|\xi\| + \sup_{0 \leq t < 1} (1-t)^{\frac{-\theta_1}{2}} \sqrt{T_\xi(1) - T_\xi(t)} \\ &= \|\xi\| + \sup_{0 \leq t < 1} (1-t)^{\frac{-\theta_1}{2}} \|\xi - \mathbb{E}_t \xi\|. \end{aligned}$$

By assumption we have $\|\xi - \mathbb{E}_t \xi\|^2 \leq c(1-t)^{\theta_1}$ hence the RHS is finite. From the lexicographical scale of the real interpolation spaces (see [6] or [7]) it follows

$$(\mathrm{L}_2, \mathbb{D}_{1,2})_{\theta_1',2} \supseteq (\mathrm{L}_2, \mathbb{D}_{1,2})_{\theta_1,\infty}, \text{ for all } \theta_1' \in]0, \theta_1[.$$

Especially, $\|\xi - \mathbb{E}_t \xi\|^2 \leq c(1-t)^{\theta_1}$ implies that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{\theta_1'} \|I_n(f_n)\|^2 < \infty$ for all $\theta_1' \in]0, \theta_1[$ (see [14, Remark A.1]).

(ii) In general (iv) $\not\Longrightarrow$ (iii): Let $(p_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be an ONB in $\mathrm{L}_2(\mu)$. For simplicity assume $T = 1, m = 1, f \equiv 0$ and $\xi = \sum_{n=0}^\infty I_n(g_n \mathbb{1}_{]0,T]}^{\otimes n})$ so that

$$Z_{s,x} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty n I_{n-1}(g_n(x,\cdot)\mathbb{1}_{]0,s]}^{\otimes(n-1)}).$$

Setting $g_n := \beta_n (n!)^{-\frac{1}{2}} p_n^{\otimes n}$ we have

$$\|Z_{s,\cdot}\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty n\beta_n^2 s^{n-1}.$$

For a sequence (β_n) such that $\beta_1^2 := 1$, $\beta_2^2 := 0$, $\beta_n^2 := \frac{1}{n(\log(n-1))^2}, n \geq 3$, we use Lemma A.1 of [25] which states that

$$1 + \sum_{n=2}^\infty (\log n)^{-2} s^n \sim_c \frac{1}{(1-s)(1-\log(1-s))^2}$$

(where for some $c \geq 1$ and $A, B > 0$ the expression $A \sim_c B$ is a short notation for $c^{-1}A \leq B \leq cA$). Hence property (iii) does not hold for any $\theta \in]0,1[$. But for any $h = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n p_n$ such that $\|h\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mu)} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n^2 = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (Z_{t,x} - Z_{s,x})\, h(x)\mu(dx) \right\|^2 \\ =\ & \sum_{n=3}^\infty \alpha_n^2 \frac{1}{(\log(n-1))^2}(t^{n-1} - s^{n-1}) \leq \frac{1}{(\log 2)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We prepare some lemmas to prove Theorem 5.1 :

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\eta \in \mathbb{H} \cap \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$ and $k \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. Then for λ-a.e. $s, t \in]r_{k-1}, r_k[$ with $s < t$ it holds*

$$\|\mathbb{E}_t \mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot}\eta - \mathbb{E}_s \mathcal{D}_{s,\cdot}\eta\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} \leq 4 \int_s^t \frac{\|\mathbb{E}_{r_k}\eta - \mathbb{E}_r \eta\|^2}{(r_k - r)^2} dr.$$

Proof. Let $\eta \in \mathbb{H} \cap \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$ be given by $\eta = \sum_{n=0}^\infty I_n(f_n) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty I_n\left(\sum_{\alpha \in V_m^n} g_n^\alpha \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_\alpha}\right)$ where we may assume that the functions $f_n((t_1, x_1), \ldots, (t_n, x_n))$ are symmetric. In the following we use again the notation $\underline{\alpha} := (\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n)$. Since

$$\mathcal{D}_{t,x}\eta = \sum_{n=1}^\infty n I_{n-1}\left(\sum_{\alpha \in V_m^n} g_n^\alpha(x,\cdot) \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_{\alpha_1}}(t) \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_{\underline{\alpha}}} \right)$$

and since there exists a version of $\mathcal{D}\eta$ which is constant on $]r_{k-1}, r_k[$ we get for $s, t \in]r_{k-1}, r_k[$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathbb{E}_t\mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot}\eta - \mathbb{E}_s\mathcal{D}_{s,\cdot}\eta\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} \\
&= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nI_{n-1}\left(\sum_{\alpha\in V_m^n} g_n^\alpha \mathbb{I}_{\Lambda_{\alpha_1}}(t)\mathbb{I}_{\Lambda_{\underline{\alpha}}}\left(\mathbb{I}^{\otimes(n-1)}_{]0,t]} - \mathbb{I}^{\otimes(n-1)}_{]0,s]}\right)\right)\right\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nn! \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in V_m^n\\ \alpha_1=k}} \|g_n^\alpha\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mu^{\otimes n})}\, \lambda^{\otimes n-1}\left(\Lambda_{\underline{\alpha}} \cap (]0,t]^{n-1} \setminus\,]0,s]^{n-1})\right). \qquad (17)
\end{aligned}$$

For $\beta \in V_m^N$ and $1 \leq l \leq m$ we define

$$\gamma_l(\beta) := \#\left\{i \mid \beta_i = l,\ i = 1, \ldots, N\right\}.$$

Notice that the intersection $\Lambda_{\underline{\alpha}} \cap (]0,t]^{n-1} \setminus\,]0,s]^{n-1})$ is empty if $\sum_{d=k+1}^m \gamma_d(\underline{\alpha}) > 0$. Therefore, setting

$$\delta_{\underline{\alpha}} := \mathbb{I}_{\{0\}}\left(\sum_{d=k+1}^{m} \gamma_d(\underline{\alpha})\right)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda^{\otimes(n-1)}\left(\Lambda_{\underline{\alpha}} \cap \left(]0,t]^{n-1} \setminus\,]0,s]^{n-1}\right)\right) \\
&= \left((t-r_{k-1})^{\gamma_k(\underline{\alpha})} - (s-r_{k-1})^{\gamma_k(\underline{\alpha})}\right) \prod_{1\leq l<k} (r_l - r_{l-1})^{\gamma_l(\underline{\alpha})}\, \delta_{\underline{\alpha}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the symmetry of the functions in the chaos decomposition, we get that

$$g_n^\alpha(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = g_n^{\pi(\alpha)}(x_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, x_{\pi(n)})$$

and hence $\|g_n^\alpha\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mu^{\otimes n})} = \left\|g_n^{\pi(\alpha)}\right\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mu^{\otimes n})}$ for all $\pi \in \mathrm{S}(n)$ where we used the notation $\pi(\alpha) := (\alpha_{\pi(1)}, ..., \alpha_{\pi(n)})$. Applying this fact, we reduce our summation over $\alpha \in V_m^n$ to a summation over equivalence classes $[\alpha] \in V_m^n/\sim$ where

$$\alpha \sim \beta \Leftrightarrow \exists \pi \in \mathrm{S}(n) \colon \alpha = \pi(\beta).$$

Thus, since in (17) we fixed α_1, by taking equivalence classes for V_m^{n-1} we obtain

$$\|\mathbb{E}_t\mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot}\eta - \mathbb{E}_s\mathcal{D}_{s,\cdot}\eta\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= \ & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n n! \sum_{[\underline{\alpha}] \in V_m^{n-1}/\sim} \frac{(n-1)!}{\gamma_1(\underline{\alpha})! \cdots \gamma_k(\underline{\alpha})!} \left\| g_n^{(k,\underline{\alpha})} \right\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mu^{\otimes n})} \\
& \times \left((t - r_{k-1})^{\gamma_k(\underline{\alpha})} - (s - r_{k-1})^{\gamma_k(\underline{\alpha})} \right) \prod_{1 \le l < k} (r_l - r_{l-1})^{\gamma_l(\underline{\alpha})} \, \delta_{\underline{\alpha}}, \quad (18)
\end{aligned}
$$

because the cardinality of the equivalence class $[\underline{\alpha}]$ is $\frac{(n-1)!}{\gamma_1(\underline{\alpha})! \cdots \gamma_k(\underline{\alpha})!}$ and $\gamma_l(\underline{\alpha})$ is invariant of permutations of $\underline{\alpha}$. For $\gamma \geq 1$ we estimate

$$
(t - r_{k-1})^{\gamma} - (s - r_{k-1})^{\gamma} = \int_s^t \gamma (r - r_{k-1})^{\gamma - 1} dr
$$

using for the integrand on the right hand side the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
(r - r_{k-1})^{\gamma - 1} \ \le \ & \frac{1}{(r_k - u)(u - r)} \int_u^{r_k} \int_r^{\rho} (v - r_{k-1})^{\gamma - 1} dv d\rho, \\
& \qquad r_{k-1} \le r < u < r_k.
\end{aligned}
$$

For $u = \frac{r_k + r}{2}$ this leads to

$$
(t - r_{k-1})^{\gamma} - (s - r_{k-1})^{\gamma} \le \frac{4}{(\gamma + 1)} \int_s^t \frac{(r_k - r_{k-1})^{\gamma + 1} - (r - r_{k-1})^{\gamma + 1}}{(r_k - r)^2} dr.
$$

This yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \mathbb{E}_t \mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot} \eta - \mathbb{E}_s \mathcal{D}_{s,\cdot} \eta \right\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P} \otimes \mu)} \\
& \le \ 4 \int_s^t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n! \sum_{[\underline{\alpha}] \in V_m^{n-1}/\sim} \frac{n!}{\gamma_1(\underline{\alpha})! \cdots \gamma_{k-1}(\underline{\alpha})! (\gamma_k(\underline{\alpha}) + 1)!} \left\| g_n^{(k,\underline{\alpha})} \right\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mu^{\otimes n})} \\
& \qquad \times \frac{(r_k - r_{k-1})^{\gamma_k(\underline{\alpha}) + 1} - (r - r_{k-1})^{\gamma_k(\underline{\alpha}) + 1}}{(r_k - r)^2} \prod_{1 \le l < k} (r_l - r_{l-1})^{\gamma_l(\underline{\alpha})} \, \delta_{\underline{\alpha}} dr,
\end{aligned}
$$

where for $\gamma_k(\underline{\alpha}) = 0$ we have used

$$
0 \ = \ (t - r_{k-1})^{\gamma_k(\underline{\alpha})} - (s - r_{k-1})^{\gamma_k(\underline{\alpha})} \le \int_s^t \frac{(r_k - r_{k-1}) - (r - r_{k-1})}{(r_k - r)^2} dr.
$$

Because of

$$
\gamma_l(\alpha) = \gamma_l(\underline{\alpha}), \ 0 < l < k, \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_k(\alpha) = \gamma_k(\underline{\alpha}) + 1
$$

if $\alpha = (k, \underline{\alpha})$ we finally get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathbb{E}_t \mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot}\eta - \mathbb{E}_s \mathcal{D}_{s,\cdot}\eta\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} \\
\leq\ & 4 \int_s^t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n! \sum_{[\alpha]\in V_m^n/\sim} \frac{n!}{\gamma_1(\alpha)! \cdots \gamma_k(\alpha)!} \|g_n^\alpha\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mu^{\otimes n})} \\
& \quad \times \frac{(r_k - r_{k-1})^{\gamma_k(\alpha)} - (r - r_{k-1})^{\gamma_k(\alpha)}}{(r_k - r)^2} \prod_{l<k} (r_l - r_{l-1})^{\gamma_l(\alpha)} \, \delta_\alpha dr \\
=\ & 4 \int_s^t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n! \sum_{\alpha \in V_m^n} \|g_n^\alpha\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mu^{\otimes n})} \frac{\lambda^{\otimes n}\left(\Lambda_\alpha \cap (]0, r_k]^n \setminus]0, r]^n)\right)}{(r_k - r)^2} dr \\
=\ & 4 \int_s^t \frac{\|\mathbb{E}_{r_k}\eta - \mathbb{E}_r \eta\|^2}{(r_k - r)^2} dr.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.4. *If $\eta \in \mathbb{H} \cap \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$ and $k \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ then for λ-a.e. $t \in]r_{k-1}, r_k[$*

$$\|\mathbb{E}_t \mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot}\eta\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} \leq \frac{\|\mathbb{E}_{r_k}\eta - \mathbb{E}_t\eta\|^2}{r_k - t}.$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of the previous lemma we get (using the same notation)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathbb{E}_t \mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot}\eta\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} \\
=\ & \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n I_{n-1} \left(\sum_{\alpha\in V_m^n} g_n^\alpha \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_{\alpha_1}}(t) \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_{\underline{\alpha}}} \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(n-1)}_{]0,t]} \right) \right\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} \\
=\ & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n n! \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in V_m^n \\ \alpha_1 = k}} \|g_n^\alpha\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mu^{\otimes n})} (t - r_{k-1})^{\gamma_k(\underline{\alpha})} \prod_{l<k} (r_l - r_{l-1})^{\gamma_l(\underline{\alpha})} \, \delta_{\underline{\alpha}} \\
\leq\ & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n! \sum_{\alpha\in V_m^n} \|g_n^\alpha\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mu^{\otimes n})} \frac{(r_k - r_{k-1})^{\gamma_k(\alpha)} - (t - r_{k-1})^{\gamma_k(\alpha)}}{r_k - t} \\
& \qquad \times \prod_{l<k} (r_l - r_{l-1})^{\gamma_l(\alpha)} \, \delta_\alpha \\
=\ & \frac{\|\mathbb{E}_{r_k}\eta - \mathbb{E}_t\eta\|^2}{r_k - t}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.5. *Suppose* $u \in]r_{k-1}, T]$, $\eta \in \mathbb{H}_u \cap \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$ *and* $h \in \mathrm{L}_2(\mu)$. *Then the equation*

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}_s\left[\eta I_1\left(\mathbb{1}_{]s,a]}h\right)\right]}{a-s} = \frac{\mathbb{E}_s\left[\eta\int_{]s,a]\times\mathbb{R}} h(x)M(dt,dx)\right]}{a-s} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}_s \mathcal{D}_{s,x}\eta h(x)\mu(dx)$$

is satisfied $\mathbb{P}$ *-a.s. for* λ*- a.e.* $r_{k-1} < s < a \leq r_k \wedge u$.

Proof. By the Clark-Ocone-formula we express η as

$$\eta = \mathbb{E}\eta + \int_{]0,u]\times\mathbb{R}} {}^p\left(\mathcal{D}_{t,x}\eta\right) M(dt,dx).$$

Thus we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_s\left[\eta\int_{]s,a]\times\mathbb{R}} h(x)M(dt,dx)\right] \\ = \ & \mathbb{E}_s\left[\int_{]0,u]\times\mathbb{R}} {}^p\left(\mathcal{D}_{t,x}\eta\right) M(dt,dx) \int_{]s,a]\times\mathbb{R}} h(x)M(dt,dx)\right] \end{aligned}$$

(the summand with $\mathbb{E}\eta$ multiplied with $\int_{]s,a]\times\mathbb{R}} h(x)M(dt,dx)$ gives zero when applying $\mathbb{E}_s$). Using now the conditional Ito-isometry, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_s\left[\int_{]0,u]\times\mathbb{R}} {}^p\left(\mathcal{D}_{t,x}\eta\right) M(dt,dx) \int_{]s,a]\times\mathbb{R}} h(x)M(dt,dx)\right] \\ & = \mathbb{E}_s \int_{]s,a]\times\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}_t \mathcal{D}_{t,x}\eta\, h(x)\mathrm{m}(dt,dx) \\ & = \int_{]s,a]\times\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}_s \mathcal{D}_{t,x}\eta\, h(x)\mathrm{m}(dt,dx) \\ & = (a-s)\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}_s \mathcal{D}_{s,x}\eta\, h(x)\mu(dx) \end{aligned}$$

since $\mathcal{D}\eta$ is $\mathbb{P}\otimes\mathrm{m}$-a.e. constant on the interval $]r_{k-1}, r_k \wedge u[$ with respect to the time variable because η is in $\mathbb{H}_u$. □

Proof of Theorem 5.1:

Proof. In the following we will indicate the dependency of the conctants on certain parameters but nethertheless the constants may vary from line to line.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) :
This step is analogous to the proof of [13, Theorem 1, $(C2_l) \Rightarrow (C3_l)$]. It holds

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Y_t - Y_s\|^2 &\leq 2(t-s)\int_s^t \|f(r,X_r,Y_r,\bar{Z}_r)\|^2 dr + 2\int_s^t \|Z_{r,\cdot}\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} dr \\
&\leq c(L_f,\mu(\mathbb{R}),\kappa')\int_s^t \big(1 + \|Y_r\|^2 + \|Z_{r,\cdot}\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)}\big) dr \\
&\leq c(L_f,\mu(\mathbb{R}),\kappa',c_3)\int_s^t (r_k - r)^{\theta_k - 1} dr
\end{aligned}$$

since $\int_0^T \|Y_r\|^2 dr < \infty$ and $\|\bar{Z}_r\| \leq \|\kappa'\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mu)} \|Z_{r,\cdot}\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)}$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) :
The argument of [13, Theorem 1, $(C3_l) \Rightarrow (C4_l)$] works here as well so that we have

$$\|Y_{r_k} - \mathbb{E}_s Y_{r_k}\|^2 \leq 4\,\|Y_{r_k} - Y_s\|^2 \leq \frac{4c_2}{\theta_k}(r_k - s)^{\theta_k}.$$

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) :
Step 1. We first assume that

$$\xi \in \mathbb{H} \cap \mathbb{D}_{1,2} \text{ and } f \text{ satisfies } (A_f 1). \tag{19}$$

Because of the relation

$$Y_r = \mathbb{E}_r Y_{r_k} + \mathbb{E}_r \int_r^{r_k} f(u,X_u,Y_u,\bar{Z}_u) du, \quad r_{k-1} < r < r_k, \tag{20}$$

and Theorem 3.1 (iv) we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. for $\mathbb{m}$-a.e. $(t,x) \in]r_{k-1}, r_k[\times \mathbb{R}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{t,x} &= \lim_{r \searrow t} \mathcal{D}_{t,x} Y_r \\
&= \lim_{r \searrow t} \mathcal{D}_{t,x}\left(\mathbb{E}_r Y_{r_k} + \mathbb{E}_r \int_r^{r_k} f(u,X_u,Y_u,\bar{Z}_u) du\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{r \searrow t} \left(\mathbb{E}_r \mathcal{D}_{t,x} Y_{r_k} + \mathbb{E}_r \mathcal{D}_{t,x} \int_r^{r_k} f(u, X_u, Y_u, \bar{Z}_u) du \right) \\
&= \lim_{r \searrow t} \left(\mathbb{E}_r \mathcal{D}_{t,x} Y_{r_k} + \mathbb{E}_r \int_r^{r_k} \mathcal{D}_{t,x} f(u, X_u, Y_u, \bar{Z}_u) du \right) \\
&= \mathbb{E}_t \mathcal{D}_{t,x} Y_{r_k} + \mathbb{E}_t \int_t^{r_k} \mathcal{D}_{t,x} f(u, X_u, Y_u, \bar{Z}_u) du. \qquad (21)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we assumed the right continuous versions of the according expressions: Since $Y_{r_k} \in \mathbb{H} \cap \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$ the expression $\mathcal{D} Y_{r_k}$ can be realized such that it is constant in t on $]r_{k-1}, r_k[$ and $(\mathbb{E}_s \mathcal{D}_{t,x} Y_{r_k})_{s \in]r_{k-1}, r_k[}$ is a martingale (for fixed x). From Lemma 5.4 we conclude that

$$
\|Z_{t,\cdot}\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} \leq \frac{\|Y_{r_k} - \mathbb{E}_t Y_{r_k}\|}{\sqrt{r_k - t}} + \int_t^{r_k} \|\mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot} f(u, X_u, Y_u, \bar{Z}_u)\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} du. \qquad (22)
$$

Since

$$
|\mathcal{D}_{t,y} f(r, X_r, Y_r, \bar{Z}_r)| \leq L_f (\mathbb{1}_{[t,T]}(r) + |\mathcal{D}_{t,y} Y_r| + |\mathcal{D}_{t,y} \bar{Z}_r|), \quad y \neq 0,
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{D}_{t,0} f(r, X_r, Y_r, \bar{Z}_r) = \left(\mathbb{1}_{[t,T]}(r) \partial_x + \mathcal{D}_{t,0} Y_r \partial_y + \mathcal{D}_{t,0} \bar{Z}_r \partial_z \right) f(r, X_r, Y_r, \bar{Z}_r),
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot} f(r, X_r, Y_r, \bar{Z}_r)\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} \leq\ & L_f \big(\sqrt{\mu(\mathbb{R})} + \|\mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot} Y_r\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} \\
& + \|\mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot} \bar{Z}_r\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} \big). \qquad (23)
\end{aligned}
$$

We take the Malliavin derivative of (20), and by Lemma 5.4 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot} Y_r\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} \\
& \leq \frac{\|Y_{r_k} - \mathbb{E}_r Y_{r_k}\|}{\sqrt{r_k - r}} + \int_r^{r_k} \|\mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot} f(u, X_u, Y_u, \bar{Z}_u)\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} du. \qquad (24)
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to estimate $\|\mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot} \bar{Z}_r\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)}$ we will use the representation

$$
\bar{Z}_r = \frac{\mathbb{E}_r \left[(\mathbb{E}_u Y_{r_k}) I_1(\mathbb{1}_{]r,u]} \kappa') \right]}{u - r} + \int_r^{r_k} \frac{\mathbb{E}_r \left[f(a, X_a, Y_a, \bar{Z}_a) I_1(\mathbb{1}_{]r,a]} \kappa') \right]}{a - r} da,
$$

for λ- a.e. u such that $r_{k-1} < r < u \le r_k$ which is a consequence of equation (21), the fact that $\mathbb{E}_u Y_{r_k} \in \mathbb{H}_u$, $f(a, X_a, Y_a, \bar{Z}_a) \in \mathbb{H}_a$ and Lemma 5.5.
Hence for $r_{k-1} < t < r < u < r_k$ the 'conditional' Hölder inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot}\bar{Z}_r\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} \\
\le\;& \left\| \frac{\mathbb{E}_r\Big[(\mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot}(\mathbb{E}_u Y_{r_k}))I_1(1\!\!1_{]r,u]}\kappa')\Big]}{u-r} \right\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} \\
&+ \int_r^{r_k} \left\| \frac{\mathbb{E}_r\Big[(\mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot} f(a, X_a, Y_a, \bar{Z}_a))I_1(1\!\!1_{]r,a]}\kappa')\Big]}{a-r} \right\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} da \\
\le\;& \frac{\|\mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot}(\mathbb{E}_u Y_{r_k})\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} \|\kappa'\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mu)}}{\sqrt{u-r}} \\
&+ c(L_f, \mu(\mathbb{R}), \kappa') \int_r^{r_k} \frac{1 + \|\mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot}Y_a\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} + \|\mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot}\bar{Z}_a\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)}}{\sqrt{a-r}} da.
\end{aligned}$$

where we used that $\left(\mathbb{E}_r I_1(1\!\!1_{]r,u]}\kappa')^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le c(\kappa')\sqrt{u-r}$ a.s., and from (23) one gets the estimate for the integral. Choosing $u = \frac{r_k + r}{2}$ we conclude by Lemma (5.4) the inequality $\frac{\|\mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot}(\mathbb{E}_u Y_{r_k})\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)}}{\sqrt{u-r}} \le 2\frac{\|Y_{r_k} - \mathbb{E}_r Y_{r_k}\|}{r_k - r}$. From the estimate (24) for $\mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot}Y_r$ and the above one for $\mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot}\bar{Z}_r$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot}Y_r\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} + \|\mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot}\bar{Z}_r\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} \\
\le\;& c(\kappa') \frac{\|Y_{r_k} - \mathbb{E}_r Y_{r_k}\|}{r_k - r} \\
&+ c(L_f, \mu(\mathbb{R}), \kappa') \int_r^{r_k} \frac{1 + \|\mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot}Y_a\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} + \|\mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot}\bar{Z}_a\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)}}{\sqrt{a-r}} da
\end{aligned}$$

which can be treated using an iteration and Gronwall's Lemma (see the proof of Lemma 4 in [13]) in order to get

$$\|\mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot}Y_r\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} + \|\mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot}\bar{Z}_r\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} \;\le\; c(L_f, \mu(\mathbb{R}), \kappa') \frac{\|Y_{r_k} - \mathbb{E}_r Y_{r_k}\|}{r_k - r}. \quad (25)$$

Hence from (22) and (23) it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Z_{t,\cdot}\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} \;\le\;& \frac{\|Y_{r_k} - \mathbb{E}_t Y_{r_k}\|}{\sqrt{r_k - t}} \\
&+ c(L_f, \mu(\mathbb{R}), \kappa') \int_t^{r_k} \left(1 + \frac{\|Y_{r_k} - \mathbb{E}_r Y_{r_k}\|}{r_k - r}\right) dr. \quad (26)
\end{aligned}$$

Step 2. Here we use Remark 4.1 and approximate $\xi \in \mathbb{H}$ by a sequence $(\xi_n)_n \subseteq \mathbb{H} \cap \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$ and f such that (A_f) is fulfilled by $(f_n)_n$ satisfying $(A_f 1)$. The convergence (9) implies that we can find a subsequence (Z^{n_k}) which we will again denote by (Z^n) such that for λ-a.a. $t \in [0,T]$

$$\left\| Z^n_{t,\cdot} - Z_{t,\cdot} \right\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{27}$$

From the first step we conclude that (26) holds for Z^n and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Z_{t,\cdot}\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} &\leq \|Z_{t,\cdot} - Z^n_{t,\cdot}\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} + \|Z^n_{t,\cdot}\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} \\
&\leq \|Z_{t,\cdot} - Z^n_{t,\cdot}\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} + \frac{\|Y^n_{r_k} - \mathbb{E}_t Y^n_{r_k}\|}{\sqrt{r_k - t}} \\
&\qquad + c(L_f, \mu(\mathbb{R}), \kappa') \int_t^{r_k} \left(1 + \frac{\|Y^n_{r_k} - \mathbb{E}_r Y^n_{r_k}\|}{r_k - r} \right) dr \\
&\leq \frac{\|Y_{r_k} - \mathbb{E}_t Y_{r_k}\|}{\sqrt{r_k - t}} + c(L_f, \mu(\mathbb{R}), \kappa') \int_t^{r_k} \left(1 + \frac{\|Y_{r_k} - \mathbb{E}_r Y_{r_k}\|}{r_k - r} \right) dr \\
&\quad + \|Z_{t,\cdot} - Z^n_{t,\cdot}\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} + \frac{\|Y_{r_k} - Y^n_{r_k}\|}{\sqrt{r_k - t}} \\
&\quad + c(L_f, \mu(\mathbb{R}), \kappa') \int_t^{r_k} \frac{\|Y_{r_k} - \mathbb{E}_r Y_{r_k} - (Y^n_{r_k} - \mathbb{E}_r Y^n_{r_k})\|}{r_k - r} dr.
\end{aligned}$$

For sufficiently large n the terms in the second last line are arbitrarily small. For the last term we use the relation (20) and get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_t^{r_k} \frac{\|Y_{r_k} - \mathbb{E}_r Y_{r_k} - (Y^n_{r_k} - \mathbb{E}_r Y^n_{r_k})\|}{r_k - r} dr \\
\leq & \int_t^{r_k} \frac{\int_r^{r_k} \|f(u, X_u, Y_u, \bar{Z}_u) - f(u, X_u, Y^n_u, \bar{Z}^n_u)\| du}{r_k - r} dr \\
= & \int_t^{r_k} \int_t^u \frac{1}{r_k - r} dr \ \|f(u, X_u, Y_u, \bar{Z}_u) - f(u, X_u, Y^n_u, \bar{Z}^n_u)\| du \\
\leq & \left[\int_t^{r_k} \left(\int_t^u \frac{1}{r_k - r} dr \right)^2 du \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad \times \left[\int_t^{r_k} \|f(u, X_u, Y_u, \bar{Z}_u) - f(u, X_u, Y^n_u, \bar{Z}^n_u)\|^2 du \right]^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where the last factor is arbitrarily small for sufficiently large n.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) : Step 1. We assume first that (19) holds for (ξ, f). In the following we use the notation $\mathbf{f}(r) := f(r, X_r, Y_r, \bar{Z}_r)$. Then equation (21) allows us to write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (Z_{t,x} - Z_{s,x})\, h(x)\mu(dx) \right\| \\
\leq\ & \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathbb{E}_t \mathcal{D}_{t,x} Y_{r_k} - \mathbb{E}_s \mathcal{D}_{s,x} Y_{r_k}) h(x)\mu(dx) \right\| \\
&+ \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\mathbb{E}_t \int_t^{r_k} \mathcal{D}_{t,x}\mathbf{f}(r)dr - \mathbb{E}_s \int_s^{r_k} \mathcal{D}_{s,x}\mathbf{f}(r)dr \right] h(x)\mu(dx) \right\| \\
\leq\ & \| \mathbb{E}_t \mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot} Y_{r_k} - \mathbb{E}_s \mathcal{D}_{s,\cdot} Y_{r_k} \|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} \|h\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mu)} \\
&+ \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\mathbb{E}_t \int_t^{r_k} \mathcal{D}_{t,x}\mathbf{f}(r)dr - \mathbb{E}_s \mathbb{E}_t \int_t^{r_k} \mathcal{D}_{t,x}\mathbf{f}(r)dr \right] h(x)\mu(dx) \right\| \\
&+ \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}_s \int_s^t \mathcal{D}_{s,x}\mathbf{f}(r)dr h(x)\mu(dx) \right\|
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $\mathcal{D}\mathbf{f}(r)$ can be chosen to be constant on the interval $]r_{k-1}, r_k \wedge r[$ i.e. we may exchange $\mathcal{D}_{s,x}\mathbf{f}(r)$ by $\mathcal{D}_{t,x}\mathbf{f}(r)$ in the second term.
From Lemma 5.3 we obtain that

$$\| \mathbb{E}_t \mathcal{D}_{t,\cdot} Y_{r_k} - \mathbb{E}_s \mathcal{D}_{s,\cdot} Y_{r_k} \|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} \leq 4 \int_s^t \frac{\|Y_{r_k} - \mathbb{E}_r Y_{r_k}\|^2}{(r_k - r)^2} dr.$$

We combine (23) with (25) to get

$$\| \mathcal{D}_{u,\cdot}\mathbf{f}(r) \|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} \leq c(L_f, \mu(\mathbb{R}), \kappa') \frac{\|Y_{r_k} - \mathbb{E}_r Y_{r_k}\|^2}{(r_k - r)^2}, \tag{29}$$

which is used to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}_s \int_s^t \mathcal{D}_{s,x}\mathbf{f}(r)dr h(x)\mu(dx) \right\| \\
\leq\ & \|h\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mu)} \int_s^t \|\mathcal{D}_{s,\cdot}\mathbf{f}(r)\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)} dr \\
\leq\ & \|h\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mu)} \sqrt{c(L_f, \mu(\mathbb{R}), \kappa')} \int_s^t \frac{\|Y_{r_k} - \mathbb{E}_r Y_{r_k}\|}{r_k - r} dr.
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 5.5 we conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}_t \mathcal{D}_{t,x}\mathbf{f}(r) h(x)\mu(dx) = \frac{\mathbb{E}_t \left[I_1(\mathbb{1}_{]t,r]} h)\mathbf{f}(r) \right]}{r - t}.$$

Applying the Clark-Ocone formula and (29) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}_t \mathcal{D}_{t,x}\mathbf{f}(r)h(x)\mu(dx) - \mathbb{E}_s \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}_t \mathcal{D}_{t,x}\mathbf{f}(r)h(x)\mu(dx)\right\|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{(r-t)^2}\left\| \int_{]s,t]\times\mathbb{R}} {}^p\big[\mathcal{D}_{u,y}\mathbb{E}_t\big(I_1(\mathbb{1}_{]t,r]}h)\mathbf{f}(r)\big)\big]M(du,dy)\right\|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{(r-t)^2}\int_s^t\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}\big|\mathbb{E}_t\big[I_1(\mathbb{1}_{]t,r]}h)\mathcal{D}_{u,y}\mathbf{f}(r)\big]\big|^2 \mathbbm{m}(du,dy) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{r-t}\|h\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mu)}\int_s^t \|\mathcal{D}_{u,\cdot}\mathbf{f}(r)\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)}du \\
&\leq \frac{1}{r-t}\|h\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mu)}c(L_f,\mu(\mathbb{R}),\kappa')\int_s^t \frac{\|Y_{r_k}-\mathbb{E}_rY_{r_k}\|^2}{(r_k-r)^2}du.
\end{aligned}$$

For the first inequality we have used that for $u < t < r$ it holds $\mathbb{P}\otimes\mathbbm{m}$-a.e.

$$\mathcal{D}_{u,y}\Big[I_1(\mathbb{1}_{]t,r]}h)\mathbf{f}(r)\Big] = I_1(\mathbb{1}_{]t,r]}h)\mathcal{D}_{u,y}\mathbf{f}(r)$$

since $\mathcal{D}_{u,y}I_1(\mathbb{1}_{]t,r]}h) = 0$. This can be proved, for example, applying [16, Corollary 3.1] and approximation. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \int_t^{r_k}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}_t \mathcal{D}_{t,x}\mathbf{f}(r)h(x)\mu(dx) - \mathbb{E}_s \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}_t \mathcal{D}_{t,x}\mathbf{f}(r)h(x)\mu(dx)\right]dr\right\| \\
&\leq \|h\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mu)}\sqrt{c(L_f,\mu(\mathbb{R}),\kappa')}\int_t^{r_k}\frac{\|Y_{r_k}-\mathbb{E}_rY_{r_k}\|}{(r_k-r)\sqrt{r-t}}dr\sqrt{t-s} \\
&\leq \|h\|_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mu)}\sqrt{c(L_f,\mu(\mathbb{R}),\kappa')}\; c\left(\int_s^t (r_k-r)^{\theta_k-2}dr\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

with $c = c(r_k - r_{k-1})$. Consequently, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}}(Z_{t,x}-Z_{s,x})\,h(x)\mu(dx)\right\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2} \\
&\leq \|h\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mu)}c(L_f,\mu(\mathbb{R}),\kappa')\left[\int_s^t\frac{\|Y_{r_k}-\mathbb{E}_rY_{r_k}\|^2}{(r_k-r)^2}dr\right. \\
&\qquad\left.+\left(\int_t^{r_k}\frac{\|Y_{r_k}-\mathbb{E}_rY_{r_k}\|}{(r_k-r)\sqrt{r-t}}dr\right)^2(t-s)\right]. \qquad (30)
\end{aligned}$$

Step 2. Now we take the sequence $(\xi^n, f^n)_n$ from Step 2 of the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) and proceed with (30) in the same way as we did with (26). In order to get the analogous estimate we use the relations

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_s^t \frac{\left\| \int_r^{r_k} f(u, X_u, Y_u, \bar{Z}_u) - f(u, X_u, Y_u^n, \bar{Z}_u^n) du \right\|^2}{(r_k - r)^2} dr \\ \leq \quad & \int_s^t \frac{1}{r_k - r} dr \int_{r_{k-1}}^{r_k} \| f(u, X_u, Y_u, \bar{Z}_u) - f(u, X_u, Y_u^n, \bar{Z}_u^n) \|^2 du\end{aligned}$$

which is arbitrarily small for fixed $s, t \in [r_{k-1}, r_k[\setminus N_k$ where $\lambda(N_k) = 0$ and large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_t^{r_k} \frac{\int_r^{r_k} \| f(u, X_u, Y_u, \bar{Z}_u) - f(u, X_u, Y_u^n, \bar{Z}_u^n) \| du}{(r_k - r)\sqrt{r - t}} dr \\ \leq \quad & \frac{2 \int_t^{r_k} \| f(u, X_u, Y_u, \bar{Z}_u) - f(u, X_u, Y_u^n, \bar{Z}_u^n) \| du}{r_k - t} \int_t^{(r_k + t)/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r - t}} dr \\ & + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{r_k - t}} \int_{(r_k + t)/2}^{r_k} \frac{\int_r^{r_k} \| f(u, X_u, Y_u, \bar{Z}_u) - f(u, X_u, Y_u^n, \bar{Z}_u^n) \| du}{r_k - r} dr.\end{aligned}$$

For the last term we can apply the estimate (28) to see that the RHS is arbitrarily small for large $n \in \mathbb{N}$. ☐

6 A sufficient condition on ξ for fractional smoothness

Assume (A_f) is satisfied for (4) and $\xi \in \mathbb{H}$. If $k = m$, condition (i) of Theorem 5.1 means in fact

$$\|\xi - \mathbb{E}_s \xi\|^2 \leq c_1 (T - s)^{\theta_m}, \quad s \in]r_{m-1}, T].$$

Following the ideas of [13] we will formulate a condition on $\xi \in \mathbb{H}$ which implies that (i) of Theorem 5.1 holds for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$.

Assume that $\check{X}$ and X are processes on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ such that $\check{X}$ is an independent copy of the Lévy process X. We define for $0 \leq t < r \leq T$

$$X_s^{t,r} := \int_0^s \mathbb{I}_{[0,T] \setminus]t,r]}(u) dX_u + \int_0^s \mathbb{I}_{]t,r]}(u) d\check{X}_u, \quad s \in [0, T]. \qquad (31)$$

i.e. we obtain the process $X^{t,r}$ from X by replacing it on the interval $]t,r]$ by its independent copy. Consequently, for the random measure $M^{t,r}$ w.r.t. $X^{t,r}$ we have the relation

$$M^{t,r}(B)=M(B\setminus(]t,r]\times\mathbb{R}))+\check{M}(B\cap(]t,r]\times\mathbb{R}))\,,\;\; B\in\mathcal{B}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}).$$

By $(\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ we denote the augmented natural filtration w.r.t. $(X,\check{X})$ and put $\mathrm{L}_2 := \mathrm{L}_2(\Omega,\hat{\mathcal{F}}_T,\mathbb{P})$ (the notation $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ we keep for the augmented natural filtration w.r.t. X).
For symmetric $f_n\in\mathrm{L}_2^n$ it holds

$$\|I_n^{t,r}(f_n)-I_n(f_n)\|^2 = 2n!\left\|f_n\left(1-\mathbb{1}_{(([0,T]\setminus]t,r])\times\mathbb{R})^n}\right)\right\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2^n}. \tag{32}$$

For any $\eta\in\mathrm{L}_2$ given by $\eta=\sum_{n=0}^\infty I_n(f_n)$ we define

$$\eta^{t,r} := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n^{t,r}(f_n).$$

Theorem 6.1. *Assume that $\xi\in\mathbb{H}$ and (A_f) is satisfied for* (4). *If there exist constants $c>0$ and $\theta_k\in]0,1]$ such that*

$$\|\xi-\xi^{t,r_k}\|^2\le c(r_k-t)^{\theta_k}\quad \textit{for all } t\in[r_{k-1},r_k]$$

then

$$\|Y_{r_k}-\mathbb{E}_tY_{r_k}\|^2\le C(r_k-t)^{\theta_k}\ \textit{for all } t\in[r_{k-1},r_k].$$

Remark 6.2. (i) For $f=0$ it follows from Theorem 6.1 the implication

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\xi-\xi^{t,r_k}\|^2\le c(r_k-t)^{\theta_k},\quad \text{for all } t\in[r_{k-1},r_k]\\ &\Longrightarrow\ \|\mathbb{E}_{r_k}\xi-\mathbb{E}_t\xi\|^2\le c(r_k-t)^{\theta_k},\quad \text{for all } t\in[r_{k-1},r_k].\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

For certain ξ the implication (33) is in fact an equivalence: For example, if $\xi = g(X_{r_m}-X_{r_{m-1}},...,X_{r_1}-X_{r_0})\in\mathrm{L}_2$ such that g is a symmetric function and $r_k=\frac{kT}{m}$, for $k=0,...,m$. A more detailed discussion under which conditions equivalence holds for (33) as well as an example where $\|\mathbb{E}_{r_k}\xi-\mathbb{E}_t\xi\|^2\le c(r_k-t)^{\theta_k}$, for all $t\in[r_{k-1},r_k]$ does not imply $\|\xi-\xi^{t,r_k}\|^2\le c(r_k-t)^{\theta_k}$, for all $t\in[r_{k-1},r_k]$ can be found in [17].

(ii) If $\xi \in \mathbb{H}$ the case $\Theta = (1,1,...,1)$ corresponds to Malliavin differentiability:

$$\exists c > 0 : \|\xi - \xi^{t,r_k}\|^2 \le c(r_k - t) \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in [r_{k-1}, r_k],\ k = 1,...,m \\ \iff \xi \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}. \tag{34}$$

Indeed, using the notation of the proof of Lemma 5.3 and setting $\binom{n}{\gamma(\alpha)} := \frac{n!}{\gamma_1(\alpha)!\cdots\gamma_m(\alpha)!}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\xi - \xi^{t,r_k}\|^2 &= 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n! \sum_{[\alpha]\in V_m^n/\sim} \binom{n}{\gamma(\alpha)} \|g_n^\alpha\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mu^{\otimes n})} \\ &\quad \times\left((r_k - r_{k-1})^{\gamma_k(\alpha)} - (t - r_{k-1})^{\gamma_k(\alpha)}\right) \prod_{\substack{1\le l\le m \\ l\ne k}} (r_l - r_{l-1})^{\gamma_l(\alpha)}.\end{aligned}$$

This implies for $r := \frac{t - r_{k-1}}{r_k - r_{k-1}}$ and $R := \max_{1\le k\le m} \frac{1}{r_k - r_{k-1}}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\|\xi - \xi^{t,r_k}\|^2}{r_k - t} &= \frac{2}{r_k - r_{k-1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n! \sum_{[\alpha]\in V_m^n/\sim} \binom{n}{\gamma(\alpha)} \|g_n^\alpha\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mu^{\otimes n})} \lambda^n(\Lambda_\alpha) \\ &\quad \times 1\!\!1_{\{\gamma_k(\alpha)\ge 1\}} \left(1 + r + ... + r^{\gamma_k(\alpha)-1}\right) \\ &\le 2R \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n! \sum_{[\alpha]\in V_m^n/\sim} \binom{n}{\gamma(\alpha)} \|g_n^\alpha\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mu^{\otimes n})} \lambda^n(\Lambda_\alpha)\gamma_k(\alpha) \\ &\le 2R\|\mathcal{D}\xi\|^2_{\mathbb{P}\otimes\mathbb{m}}\end{aligned}$$

since $\gamma_k(\alpha) \le n$. On the other hand, we get because of $n = \sum_{k=1}^m \gamma_k(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in V_m^n$ from the above relation that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{D}\xi\|^2_{\mathbb{P}\otimes\mathbb{m}} &= \sum_{k=1}^{m}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n! \sum_{[\alpha]\in V_m^n/\sim} \binom{n}{\gamma(\alpha)} \|g_n^\alpha\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mu^{\otimes n})} \lambda^n(\Lambda_\alpha)\gamma_k(\alpha) \\ &\le \frac{T}{2} \sup_{1\le k\le m} \sup_{r_{k-1}<t<r_k} \frac{\|\xi - \xi^{t,r_k}\|^2}{r_k - t}.\end{aligned}$$

In [17] there is an example which shows that (34) is not necessarily true without assuming $\xi \in \mathbb{H}$.

Proof. If (Y, Z) is a solution of (4) then $(Y^{t,r}, Z^{t,r})$ solves

$$\mathcal{Y}_u = \xi^{t,r} + \int_u^T f(s, X_s^{t,r}, \mathcal{Y}_s, \bar{\mathcal{Z}}_s)ds - \int_{]u,T]\times\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{Z}_{s,x} M^{t,r}(ds, dx).$$

From (32) we conclude that

$$\|\mathbb{E}_r I_n^{t,r}(f_n) - \mathbb{E}_r I_n(f_n)\|^2 = 2\|\mathbb{E}_t I_n(f_n) - \mathbb{E}_r I_n(f_n)\|^2.$$

Since Y_{r_k} is $\mathcal{F}_{r_k}$-measurable this implies for $t \in]r_{k-1}, r_k[$ that

$$2\|Y_{r_k} - \mathbb{E}_t Y_{r_k}\|^2 \quad = \quad \|Y_{r_k} - Y_{r_k}^{t,r_k}\|^2.$$

Since M and M^{t,r_k} coincide on $]r_k, T] \times \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{r_k} - Y_{r_k}^{t,r_k} \quad = \quad & \xi - \xi^{t,r_k} \\ & + \int_{r_k}^T f(s, X_s, Y_s, \bar{Z}_s) - f(s, X_s^{t,r_k}, Y_s^{r_k}, \bar{Z}_s^{t,r_k})ds \\ & - \int_{]r_k,T]\times\mathbb{R}} (Z_{s,x} - Z_{s,x}^{t,r_k}) M(ds, dx). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\left|Y_{r_k} - Y_{r_k}^{t,r_k}\right|^2 + \mathbb{E}\int_{]r_k,T]\times\mathbb{R}} \left|Z_{s,x} - Z_{s,x}^{t,r_k}\right|^2 \mathbb{m}(ds, dx) \\ \leq \quad & C\Big(\mathbb{E}\left|\xi - \xi^{t,r_k}\right|^2 \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E}\int_{r_k}^T |f(s, X_s, Y_s, \bar{Z}_s) - f(s, X_s^{t,r_k}, Y_s, \bar{Z}_s)|^2 ds\Big), \end{aligned}$$

which can be reduced by the Lipschitz property of f to

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\left|Y_{r_k} - Y_{r_k}^{t,r_k}\right|^2 + \mathbb{E}\int_{]r_k,T]\times\mathbb{R}} \left|Z_{s,x} - Z_{s,x}^{t,r_k}\right|^2 \mathbb{m}(ds, dx) \\ & \leq C\left(\mathbb{E}\left|\xi - \xi^{t,r_k}\right|^2 + \mathbb{E}\int_{r_k}^T L_f^2 \left|X_s - X_s^{t,r_k}\right|^2 ds\right). \end{aligned}$$

By definition of X^{t,r_k} in (31) we get for $s > r_k$

$$\mathbb{E}\left|X_s - X_s^{t,r_k}\right|^2 = \mathbb{E}\left|X_{r_k} - X_t + \left(\check{X}_{r_k} - \check{X}_t\right)\right|^2 = C_1(r_k - t).$$

Thus, there is a constant $\tilde{C}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left|Y_{r_k}-Y_{r_k}^{t,r_k}\right|^2+\mathbb{E}\int_{]r_k,T]\times\mathbb{R}}\left|Z_{s,x}-Z_{s,x}^{t,r_k}\right|^2\mathrm{m}(ds,dx)\\&\leq C\mathbb{E}\left|\xi-\xi^{t,r_k}\right|^2+\tilde{C}(r_k-t),\end{aligned}$$

which implies the assertion. □

Acknowledgement: We would like to thank S. Geiss for fruitful discussions and valuable suggestions.

7 Appendix

We start with an estimate for a simple recursion.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $(g_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be a sequence of nonnegative numbers satisfying $g_0=0$ and*

$$g_{n+1}\leq\varepsilon+C_n+\frac{1}{2}g_n,$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty}C_n=0$. Then it holds that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}g_n\leq 2\varepsilon.$$

Especially, if $C_n=0$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$, then $g_n\leq 2\varepsilon$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The case for $C_n=0$ is simple. Concerning the other case, from

$$g_{n+1}\leq\varepsilon+C_n+\frac{1}{2}g_n$$

we infer that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}g_n\leq\varepsilon+\limsup_{n\to\infty}C_n+\frac{1}{2}\limsup_{n\to\infty}g_n=\varepsilon+\frac{1}{2}\limsup_{n\to\infty}g_n,$$

where $\limsup_{n\to\infty}g_n<\infty$ since $g_n\leq 2(\varepsilon+\max_{n\in\mathbb{N}}C_n)$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Therefore,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}g_n\leq 2\varepsilon.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 3.1 The proof follows ideas of [23], [24] combined with methods from [12].

(i) For those r, v such that $\mathcal{D}_{r,v}\xi \in \mathrm{L}_2$ the existence and uniqueness of a solution $(U^{r,v}, V^{r,v})$ to (6) follows immediately from Theorem 2.2. Since by Theorem 2.3 the mapping

$$\mathrm{L}_2 \to S \times H \colon \xi \mapsto (Y, Z)$$

is continuous one can show the existence of a jointly measurable version of

$$(U^{r,v}, V^{r,v}), \quad (r,v) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}$$

by approximating $\mathcal{D}\xi$ with simple functions in $\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P} \otimes \mathbb{m})$. The quadratic integrability with respect to (r,v) follows as well from Theorem 2.3 since $\xi \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$.

(ii) Using an iteration scheme, starting with $(Y^0, Z^0) = (0,0)$, we get Y^{n+1} like in (13), i.e. it holds

$$Y_t^{n+1} = \mathbb{E}\left[\xi + \int_t^T f\left(s, X_s, Y_s^n, \bar{Z}_s^n\right) ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right]$$

a.s.. For Z^{n+1} we use the martingale representation theorem w.r.t. M (see, for example, [2]):

$$\begin{aligned} \xi + \int_0^T f\left(s, X_s, Y_s^n, \bar{Z}_s^n\right) ds &= \mathbb{E}\left(\xi + \int_0^T f\left(s, X_s, Y_s^n, \bar{Z}_s^n\right) ds\right) \\ &\quad + \int_{]0,T]\times\mathbb{R}} Z_{s,x}^{n+1} M(ds, dx). \end{aligned}$$

Then (Y^n, Z^n) converges w.r.t. the norm defined in (12) (see [28, Theorem 2.2]). In a first step we show that

$$\int_{]0,T]} \|Y_s^n\|_{\mathbb{D}_{1,2}}^2 ds \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{]0,T]\times\mathbb{R}} \|Z_{s,x}^n\|_{\mathbb{D}_{1,2}}^2 \mathbb{m}(ds, dx)$$

are bounded uniformly in $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For a fixed n let $Y^n \in \mathrm{L}_2([0,T]; \mathbb{D}_{1,2})$ and $Z^n \in \mathrm{L}_2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}; \mathbb{D}_{1,2})$.
From [12, Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3] we infer that then $Y^{n+1} \in \mathrm{L}_2([0,T]; \mathbb{D}_{1,2})$ and $Z^{n+1} \in \mathrm{L}^2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}; \mathbb{D}_{1,2})$. Especially, we get for $t \in [0,T]$ that

$$\mathcal{D}_{r,v} Y_t^{n+1} = \mathcal{D}_{r,v}\xi + \int_t^T \mathcal{D}_{r,v} f\left(s, X_s, Y_s^n, \bar{Z}_s^n\right) ds$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & & -\int_{]t,T]\times\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{D}_{r,v} Z^{n+1}_{s,x} M(ds,dx) \\ & & \quad \text{for } \mathbb{m}\text{-a.a. } (r,v) \in [0,t]\times\mathbb{R}, \\ \mathcal{D}_{r,v} Y^{n+1}_t & = & 0 \quad \text{for } \mathbb{m}\text{-a.a. } (r,v) \in (t,T]\times\mathbb{R}, \\ \mathcal{D}_{r,v} Z^{n+1}_{t,x} & = & 0 \quad \text{for } \mathbb{m}\otimes\mu\text{ -a.a. } (r,v,x) \in (t,T]\times\mathbb{R}^2,\ \mathbb{P}\text{-a.e.} \end{array} \tag{35}$$

Since by [2, Theorem 4.2.12] the process $\left(\int_{]0,t]\times\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{D}_{r,v} Z^{n+1}_{s,x} M(ds,dx)\right)_{t\in[0,T]}$ admits a càdlàg version, we may take a càdlàg version of both sides. By Itô's formula (see, for example, [2, Theorem 4.4.7]), we conclude that for $0 < r < t$ it holds

$$\begin{array}{rcl} e^{\beta T}(\mathcal{D}_{r,v}\xi)^2 & = & e^{\beta t}(\mathcal{D}_{r,v} Y^{n+1}_t)^2 \\ & & +\beta \int_t^T e^{\beta s} (\mathcal{D}_{r,v} Y^{n+1}_s)^2 ds \\ & & -2\int_t^T e^{\beta s}\left[\mathcal{D}_{r,v} f\left(s, X_s, Y^n_s, \bar{Z}^n_s\right)\right] \mathcal{D}_{r,v} Y^{n+1}_s ds \\ & & +\int_{]t,T]\times\mathbb{R}} e^{\beta s}\left[2(\mathcal{D}_{r,v} Y^{n+1}_{s-})\mathcal{D}_{r,v} Z^{n+1}_{s,x} + (\mathcal{D}_{r,v} Z^{n+1}_{s,x})^2 x\right] M(ds,dx) \\ & & +\int_{]t,T]\times\mathbb{R}} e^{\beta s} (\mathcal{D}_{r,v} Z^{n+1}_{s,x})^2 ds\mu(dx) \quad \mathbb{P}\otimes\mathbb{m}\text{-a.e.} \end{array}$$

One easily checks that the integral w.r.t. M has expectation zero. Therefore, using (35), we have for $0 < r < t \le T$ that

$$\begin{array}{rl} & \mathbb{E} e^{\beta t}(\mathcal{D}_{r,v} Y^{n+1}_t)^2 + \mathbb{E}\int_{]r,T]\times\mathbb{R}} e^{\beta s}(\mathcal{D}_{r,v} Z^{n+1}_{s,x})^2 ds\mu(dx) \\ \le & e^{\beta T}\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{D}_{r,v}\xi)^2 + 2\int_r^T e^{\beta s}\mathbb{E}\left|\left[\mathcal{D}_{r,v} f\left(s, X_s, Y^n_s, \bar{Z}^n_s\right)\right]\mathcal{D}_{r,v} Y^{n+1}_s\right| ds \\ & -\beta\mathbb{E}\int_r^T e^{\beta s}(\mathcal{D}_{r,v} Y^{n+1}_s)^2 ds. \end{array} \tag{36}$$

By Young's inequality and the estimate

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{D}_{r,v} Z^n_{s,x}\kappa(dx)\right| \le \|\kappa'\|_{L_2(\mu)} \|\mathcal{D}_{r,v} Z^n_{s,\cdot}\|_{L_2(\mu)}$$

we get constants c and $C_{f,\kappa}$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\left[\mathcal{D}_{r,v} f\left(s, X_s, Y^n_s, \bar{Z}^n_s\right)\right]\mathcal{D}_{r,v} Y^{n+1}_s\right|$$

$$\leq \; c\left|\mathcal{D}_{r,v}Y_s^{n+1}\right|^2 + \frac{C_{f,\kappa}}{c}\Big(1+|\mathcal{D}_{r,v}Y_s^n|^2+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\mathcal{D}_{r,v}Z_{s,x}^n\right|^2\mu(dx)\Big).$$

Choosing $\beta = 2c+1$ and $c = 4C_{f,\kappa}$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\int_r^T e^{\beta s}\left|\mathcal{D}_{r,v}Y_s^{n+1}\right|^2 ds + \mathbb{E}\int_{]r,T]\times\mathbb{R}} e^{\beta s}\left|\mathcal{D}_{r,v}Z_{s,x}^{n+1}\right|^2 \mathbbm{m}(ds,dx)\\
&\quad\leq e^{\beta T}\mathbb{E}\left|\mathcal{D}_{r,v}\xi\right|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_r^T e^{\beta s}ds\\
&\qquad+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbb{E}\int_r^T e^{\beta s}\left|\mathcal{D}_{r,v}Y_s^n\right|^2 ds + \mathbb{E}\int_{]r,T]\times\mathbb{R}}\left|\mathcal{D}_{r,v}Z_{s,x}^n\right|^2\mathbbm{m}(ds,dx)\right). \qquad (37)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, (35) and Lemma 7.1 imply

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T e^{\beta s}\|\mathcal{D}Y_s^n\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mathbbm{m})}ds + \int_{[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}} e^{\beta s}\|\mathcal{D}Z_{s,x}^n\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mathbbm{m})}\mathbbm{m}(ds,dx)\\
&\leq c_\beta(1+\||\mathcal{D}\xi|\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mathbbm{m})}) \text{ for all } n\in\mathbb{N}. \qquad (38)
\end{aligned}$$

We now show that

$$\left\|U-\mathcal{D}Y^{n+1}\right\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\lambda\otimes\mathbbm{m})} + \left\|V-\mathcal{D}Z^{n+1}\right\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes(\mathbbm{m})^{\otimes 2})} \qquad (39)$$

tends to zero for $n\to\infty$ where $(U^{r,v},V^{r,v})$ is the solution to (6).

In order to estimate (39) one can repeat the previous computations for the difference $U_t^{r,v}-\mathcal{D}_{r,v}Y_t^{n+1}$ to obtain that for all $c>0$ there exists a $\beta>0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\int_r^T e^{\beta s}(U_s^{r,v}-\mathcal{D}_{r,v}Y_s^{n+1})^2ds + \mathbb{E}\int_{]r,T]\times\mathbb{R}} e^{\beta s}(V_{s,x}^{r,v}-\mathcal{D}_{r,v}Z_{s,x}^{n+1})^2 ds\mu(dx)\\
&\leq \;\frac{1}{c}\mathbb{E}\int_r^T e^{\beta s}\left|F_{r,v}(s,U_s^{r,v},\bar{V}_s^{r,v})-\mathcal{D}_{r,v}f(s,X_s,Y_s^n,\bar{Z}_s^n)\right|^2 ds\\
&= \;\frac{1}{c}\mathbb{E}\int_0^T e^{\beta s}\left|F_{r,v}(s,U_s^{r,v},\bar{V}_s^{r,v})-\mathcal{D}_{r,v}f(s,X_s,Y_s^n,\bar{Z}_s^n)\right|^2 ds \qquad (40)
\end{aligned}$$

since the integrand is a.s. zero for $s<r$.

In the following we consider the cases $v=0$ and $v\neq 0$ separately. By using the

Lipschitz property of f which implies the boundedness of the partial derivatives, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|F_{r,0}(s,U_s^{r,0},\bar{V}_s^{r,0}) - \mathcal{D}_{r,0}f(s,X_s,Y_s^n,\bar{Z}_s^n)\big| \\
\leq\ & \big|\partial_x f(s,X_s,Y_s,\bar{Z}_s) - \partial_x f\left(s,X_s,Y_s^n,\bar{Z}_s^n\right)\big| \\
&+\big|\partial_y f(s,X_s,Y_s,\bar{Z}_s)U_s^{r,0} - \partial_y f\left(s,X_s,Y_s^n,\bar{Z}_s^n\right)\mathcal{D}_{r,0}Y_s^n\big| \\
&+\big|\partial_z f(s,X_s,Y_s,\bar{Z}_s)\bar{V}_s^{r,0} - \partial_z f\left(s,X_s,Y_s^n,\bar{Z}_s^n\right)\mathcal{D}_{r,0}\bar{Z}_s^n\big| \\
\leq\ & \big|\partial_x f(s,X_s,Y_s,\bar{Z}_s) - \partial_x f\left(s,X_s,Y_s^n,\bar{Z}_s^n\right)\big| \\
&+\big|U_s^{r,0}\big|\big|\partial_y f(s,X_s,Y_s,\bar{Z}_s) - \partial_y f\left(s,X_s,Y_s^n,\bar{Z}_s^n\right)\big| \\
&+\big|\bar{V}_s^{r,0}\big|\big|\partial_z f(s,X_s,Y_s,\bar{Z}_s) - \partial_z f\left(s,X_s,Y_s^n,\bar{Z}_s^n\right)\big| \\
&+L_f\big|U_s^{r,0} - \mathcal{D}_{r,0}Y_s^n\big| + L_f\big|\bar{V}_s^{r,0} - \mathcal{D}_{r,0}\bar{Z}_s^n\big|. \qquad (41)
\end{aligned}$$

Since the sequences (Y^n) and (Z^n) converge and $\partial_x f, \partial_y f$ and $\partial_z f$ are bounded and continuous in the last two variables it follows from Vitali's convergence theorem that

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_n \ :=\ & \mathbb{E}\int_r^T e^{\beta s}\int_0^T \Big[\big|\partial_x f(s,X_s,Y_s,\bar{Z}_s) - \partial_x f\left(s,X_s,Y_s^n,\bar{Z}_s^n\right)\big|^2 \\
&+\big|U_s^{u,0}\big|\left(\big|\partial_y f(s,X_s,Y_s,\bar{Z}_s) - \partial_y f\left(s,X_s,Y_s^n,\bar{Z}_s^n\right)\big|\right) \\
&+\big|\bar{V}_s^{u,0}\big|\left(\big|\partial_z f(s,X_s,Y_s,\bar{Z}_s) - \partial_z f\left(s,X_s,Y_s^n,\bar{Z}_s^n\right)\big|\right)\Big]duds \\
&\to 0 \ \text{ for } \ n\to\infty. \qquad (42)
\end{aligned}$$

For the case $v \neq 0$ let α be an arbitrary positive real number which will be specified later. In [16, Proposition 5.1] it is stated that for $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ Lipschitz and $G \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$ it holds

$$\mathcal{D}_{r,v}g(G) = \frac{1}{v}\Big[g(G + v\mathcal{D}_{r,v}G) - g(G)\Big].$$

A multidimensional version of this statement can be shown in the same way, i.e. it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{D}_{r,v}f(s,X_s,Y_s^n,\bar{Z}_s^n) \\
=\ & \frac{1}{v}\Big[f\big(s,X_s + v\mathbb{1}_{[r,T]}(s),Y_s^n + v\mathcal{D}_{r,v}Y_s^n,\bar{Z}_s^n + v\mathcal{D}_{r,v}\bar{Z}_s^n\big) - f\big(s,X_s,Y_s,\bar{Z}_s\big)\Big].
\end{aligned}$$

We use the Lipschitz property ($A_f 1$) to get for $|v| > \alpha$ the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|F_{r,v}(s,U_s^{r,v},\bar V_s^{r,v})-\mathcal{D}_{r,v}f(s,X_s,Y_s^n,\bar Z_s^n)\big|\\
=\;&\Bigg|\frac{1}{v}\Bigg[f\left(s,X_s+v1\!\!1_{[r,T]}(s),Y_s+vU_s^{r,v},\bar Z_s+v\bar V_s^{r,v}\right)-f\left(s,X_s,Y_s,\bar Z_s\right)\\
&\qquad -f\left(s,X_s+v1\!\!1_{[r,T]}(s),Y_s^n+v\mathcal{D}_{r,v}Y_s^n,\bar Z_s^n+v\mathcal{D}_{r,v}\bar Z_s^n\right)\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad +f\left(s,X_s,Y_s^n,\bar Z_s^n\right)\Bigg]\Bigg|\\
\le\;& L_f\frac{1}{|v|}\Bigg[|Y_s+vU_s^{r,v}-Y_s^n-v\mathcal{D}_{r,v}Y_s^n|\\
&\qquad +|\bar Z_s+v\bar V_s^{r,v}-\bar Z_s^n-v\mathcal{D}_{r,v}\bar Z_s^n|+|Y_s-Y_s^n|+|\bar Z_s-\bar Z_s^n|\Bigg]\\
\le\;& L_f\Bigg[|U_s^{r,v}-\mathcal{D}_{r,v}Y_s^n|+|\bar V_s^{r,v}-\mathcal{D}_{r,v}\bar Z_s^n|+\frac{2}{\alpha}(|Y_s-Y_s^n|+|\bar Z_s-\bar Z_s^n|)\Bigg].
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for $0<|v|\le\alpha$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\big|F_{r,v}(s,U_s^{r,v},\bar V_s^{r,v})\big| &\le L_f(1\!\!1_{[r,T]}(s)+|U_s^{r,v}|+|\bar V_s^{r,v}|),\\
\big|\mathcal{D}_{r,v}f(s,X_s,Y_s^n,\bar Z_s^n)\big| &\le L_f(1\!\!1_{[r,T]}(s)+|\mathcal{D}_{r,v}Y_s^n|+|\mathcal{D}_{r,v}\bar Z_s^n|).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, for $v\neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|F_{r,v}(s,U_s^{r,v},\bar V_s^{r,v})-\mathcal{D}_{r,v}f(s,X_s,Y_s^n,\bar Z_s^n)\big|\\
&\le 1\!\!1_{]\alpha,\infty[}(|v|)L_f\Bigg[|U_s^{r,v}-\mathcal{D}_{r,v}Y_s^n|+|\bar V_s^{r,v}-\mathcal{D}_{r,v}\bar Z_s^n|\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad +\frac{2}{\alpha}(|Y_s-Y_s^n|+|\bar Z_s-\bar Z_s^n|)\Bigg] \qquad (43)\\
&\quad +1\!\!1_{]0,\alpha]}(|v|)L_f\Big[2 1\!\!1_{[r,T]}(s)+|U_s^{r,v}|+|\bar V_s^{r,v}|+|\mathcal{D}_{r,v}Y_s^n|+|\mathcal{D}_{r,v}\bar Z_s^n|\Big].
\end{aligned}$$

By repeating the step how to come from (37) to (38) but this time integrating w.r.t. μ restricted to $\{v:0<|v|<\alpha\}$ one can show that for a given $\varepsilon>0$ one can choose the constant $\alpha>0$ sufficiently small such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\int_r^T e^{\beta s}\int_{\{0<|v|<\alpha\}}\Big|2 1\!\!1_{[r,T]}(s)+|U_s^{r,v}|+|\bar V_s^{r,v}|+|\mathcal{D}_{r,v}Y_s^n|+|\mathcal{D}_{r,v}\bar Z_s^n|\Big|^2\mu(dv)ds\\
&\le \frac{\varepsilon}{L_f}
\end{aligned}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in [0,T]$. Hence we can continue to estimate (40). From (42) and from the above we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\int_0^T e^{\beta s}\|F(s,U_s,\bar{V}_s) - \mathcal{D}f(s,X_s,Y^n_s,\bar{Z}^n_s)\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{m})}ds \\
\le\ \ & c(L_f,\kappa)\mathbb{E}\int_0^T e^{\beta s}\big(\|U_s - \mathcal{D}Y^n_s\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{m})} + \|V_s - \mathcal{D}Z^n_s)\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{m}\otimes\mu)}\big)ds \\
& + \frac{2c(L_f)}{\alpha}\mathbb{E}\int_0^T e^{\beta s}\big(|Y_s - Y^n_s|^2 + |\bar{Z}_s - \bar{Z}^n_s|^2\big)ds \\
& + \delta_n + \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $\beta \ge 0$ and a Banach space B we introduce the notation

$$\|y\|_{B,\beta} := \left(\int_0^T e^{\beta s}\|y_s\|^2_B ds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where $y : [0,T] \to B$ is such that $s \mapsto \|y_s\|^2_B$ is an integrable function. Clearly, $\|\cdot\|_{B,\beta} \sim \|\cdot\|_{B,0}$. Choosing c in (40) in an appropriate way leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|U - \mathcal{D}Y^{n+1}\big\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mathbb{m}),\beta} + \big\|V - \mathcal{D}Z^{n+1}\big\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mathbb{m}\otimes\mu),\beta} \\
\le\ \ & \varepsilon + C_n + \frac{1}{2}\left(\|U - \mathcal{D}Y^n\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mathbb{m}),\beta} + \|V - \mathcal{D}Z^n\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mathbb{m}\otimes\mu),\beta}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

with $C_n = C_n(\alpha)$ tending to zero if $n \to \infty$ for any chosen $\alpha > 0$. We now apply Lemma 7.1 and end up with

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\|U - \mathcal{D}Y^n\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes\lambda\otimes\mathbb{m})} + \|V - \mathcal{D}Z^n\|^2_{\mathrm{L}_2(\mathbb{P}\otimes(\mathbb{m})^{\otimes 2})}\right) = 0.$$

This implies (7). Hence we can take the Malliavin derivative of (4) and get (8) as well as the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \ \ =\ \ & \mathcal{D}_{r,v}\xi + \int_r^T F_{r,v}\left(s, \mathcal{D}_{r,v}Y_s, \int_{\mathbb{R}}\mathcal{D}_{r,v}Z_{s,x}\kappa(dx)\right)ds \\
& - Z_{r,v} - \int_{]r,T]\times\mathbb{R}}\mathcal{D}_{r,v}Z_{s,x}M(ds,dx), \quad 0 \le t < r \le T. \qquad (44)
\end{aligned}$$

By the same reasoning as for $\mathcal{D}_{r,v}Y^n$ we may conclude that the RHS of (8) has a càdlàg version which we take for $\mathcal{D}_{r,v}Y$.

(iii) This assertion we get comparing (6) and (8) because of the uniqueness of (U, V).

(iv) If we consider the pathwise limit $\lim_{t \searrow r} \mathcal{D}_{r,v} Y_t$ of the càdlàg version and compare the RHS of (8) with (44) the assertion follows. □

References

[1] S. Ankirchner, P. Imkeller, *Quadratic hedging of weather and catastrophe risk by using short term climate predictions*, HU Berlin, Preprint, 2008.

[2] D. Applebaum, *Lévy Processes and Stochastic Calculus*, Cambridge University Press 2004.

[3] V. Bally and G. Pagès, *A quantization algorithm for solving discrete time multidimensional optimal stopping problems*, Bernoulli 9 (2002), pp. 1003-1049.

[4] F. Baumgartner and S. Geiss, *Permutation invariant functionals of Lévy processes*, In preparation.

[5] G. Barles, R. Buckdahn, É. Pardoux, *Backward stochastic differential equations and integral-partial differential equations*, Stochastics Stochastics Rep. 60 (1997), no. 1-2, 57-83.

[6] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation Spaces: An Introduction*, Springer, 1976.

[7] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of Operators* , Academic Press, New York, 1988.

[8] B. Bouchard and R. Elie, *Discrete time approximation of decoupled Forward- Backward SDE with jumps*, Stochastic Process. Appl. 118 (2008), 53-75.

[9] B. Bouchard, R. Elie and N. Touzi, *Discrete-time approximation of BSDEs and probabilistic schemes for fully nonlinear PDEs* In Advanced Financial Modelling. Radon Ser. Comput. Appl. Math. 8 91-124. de Gruyter, Berlin, (2009).

[10] B. Bouchard and N. Touzi, *Discrete Time Approximation and Monte-Carlo Simulation of Backward Stochastic Differential Equations*, Stochastic Process. Appl. 111 (2004), pp. 175-206.

[11] C. Dellacherie and P.-A. Meyer, *Probabilities and Potential B*, North-Holland 1982.

[12] Ł. Delong and P. Imkeller, *On Malliavin's differentiability of BSDEs with time delayed generators driven by Brownian motions and Poisson random measures*, Stochastic Process. Appl. 120 (2010), 1748-1775.

[13] C. Geiss, S. Geiss and E. Gobet, *Generalized fractional smoothness and* L_p*-variation of BSDEs with non-Lipschitz terminal condition*, Stochastic Process. Appl. 122(2012), 2078-2116.

[14] S. Geiss and M. Hujo, *Interpolation and approximation in* $L_2(\gamma)$, J. Approx. Theory 144; 213-232 (2007).

[15] S. Geiss and M. Hujo, *Interpolation and approximation in* $L_2(\gamma)$, preprint 290, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Jyväskylä, Finland (2003).

[16] C. Geiss, E. Laukkarinen, *Density of certain smooth Lévy functionals in* $\mathbb{D}_{1,2}$, Probab. Math. Statist. Vol. 31, Fasc. 1 (2011), pp. 1-15.

[17] S. Geiss, *Interpolation on the Wiener-Lévy space and applications*, Lecture Notes (in preparation).

[18] E. Gobet, J.P. Lemor, and X. Warin, *Rate of convergence of empirical regression method for solving generalized BSDE*, Bernoulli 12 (2006), pp. 889-916.

[19] E. Gobet and A. Makhlouf, L_2*-time regularity of BSDEs with irregular terminal functions*, Stoch. Proc. Appl. 120 (2010), 1105-1132.

[20] Y. Hu, D. Nualart and X. Song, *Malliavin Calculus for Backward Stochastic Differential Equations and Applications to Numerical Solutions*, Ann. Appl. Probab. 21, No. 6 (2011), 2379-2423.

[21] J. Ma and J. Zhang, *Path regularity for solutions of backward stochastic differential equations*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 122, 1(2002), 63-190.

[22] P. Meyer, *Une remarque sur le calcul stochastique dépendant d'un paramètre*, Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg), tome 13 (1979), p.199-203.

[23] É. Pardoux, S. Peng, *Backward Stochastic Differential Equations and Quasilinear Parabolic Partial Differential Equations*, Stochastic partial differential equations and their applications (Charlotte, NC, 1991), 200-217, Lecture Notes in Control and Inform. Sci., 176, Springer, Berlin, 1992.

[24] É. Pardoux, S. Peng, *Adapted solution of a backward stochastic differential equation*, Systems Control Lett. 14 (1990), no. 1, 55-61.

[25] H. Seppälä, *Interpolation spaces with general weight functions and approximation in $\ell_2(E)$ with application to stochastic integrals* in: *Interpolation spaces with parameter functions and L_2-approximations of stochastic integrals*, PhD thesis, University of Jyväskylä, 2011.

[26] C. Stricker and M. Yor, *Calcul stochastique dépendant d'un paramètre*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete, 45(2):109-133, 1978.

[27] J. Solé, F. Utzet, J Vives, *Chaos expansions and Malliavin calculus for Lévy processes*, Stochastic analysis and applications, 595-612, Abel Symp., 2, Springer, Berlin, 2007.

[28] S. Tang and X. Li, *Necessary conditions for optimal control of stochastic systems with random jumps*, SIAM J. Control and Optim. 32, 1447-1475, 1994.

[29] J. Zhang, *A numerical scheme for BSDEs*, Ann. Appl. Probab.14 (2004), 459-488.